

MORAY LOCAL REVIEW BODY

DECISION NOTICE

Decision by the Moray Local Review Body (MLRB)

- Request for Review reference: Case LR190
- Application for review by Mr Jonathan Bailey by Mr Craig Mackay, CM Design against the decision of an Appointed Officer of Moray Council
- Planning Application 17/00576/APP New house on site adjacent to Laverock Brae, Wester Gauldwell House, Craigellachie
- Unaccompanied site inspection was carried out by the MLRB on 23 October 2017
- Date of decision notice: 9 November 2017

Decision

The MLRB agreed to dismiss the request for review and uphold the original decision of the Appointed Officer to refuse the above noted application.

1. Preliminary

- 1.1 This Notice constitutes the formal decision of the MLRB as required by the Town and Country Planning (Schemes of Delegation and Local Review Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2013.
- 1.2 The above application for planning permission was considered by the MLRB at the meeting held on 24 October 2017.
- 1.3 The MLRB was attended by Councillors M Macrae (Chair), D Bremner, C Feaver, D Gatt, A Patience and D Ross.

2. MLRB Consideration of Request for Review

- 2.1 A request was submitted by the Applicant seeking a review of the decision of the Appointed Officer, in terms of the Scheme of Delegation, to refuse an application on the grounds that the proposal is contrary to the provisions of the Moray Local Development Plan (MLDP) 2015 in terms of policies PP1, E7, H7 and IMP1 and, as a material consideration, the associated Supplementary Planning Guidance "Housing in the Countryside".
- 2.2 There was submitted a 'Summary of Information' report setting out the reasons for refusal, together with documents considered or prepared by the

Appointed Officer in respect of the planning application and the Notice of Review, Grounds for Review and supporting documents submitted by the Applicant.

- 2.3 With regard to the unaccompanied site inspection carried out on 23 October 2017, the Chair stated that Members of the Moray Local Review Body (MLRB) were shown the site where the proposed development would take place and had before them papers setting out both the reasons for refusal and the Applicant's grounds for review.
- 2.4 The Chair asked if there were any preliminary matters which the Planning or Legal Adviser wished to raise. In response, both the Planning and Legal Advisers confirmed that they had no preliminary matters that they wished to raise.
- 2.5 The Chair then asked the Committee if they had sufficient information to determine the request for review. In response, the Committee unanimously agreed that they had enough information.
- 2.6 Councillor Macrae, having had the opportunity to visit the site and consider the Applicant's grounds for review stated that he agreed with the Appointed Officer in that the proposal would be contrary to polices PP1, E7, H7 and IMP1, in particular because, in his opinion, it would be an obtrusive development to the detriment, character and appearance to an area of great landscape value and that it would form a ribbon development within a rural area. He therefore moved that the review be dismissed and the Appointed Officer's decision upheld to refuse planning permission in respect of Planning Application 17/00576/APP. This was seconded by Councillor Feaver.
- 2.7 Councillor Patience, having had the opportunity to visit the site and consider the Applicant's grounds for review stated that she was minded to uphold the appeal as, in her opinion, the proposal wouldn't have a significant impact on the landscape and wouldn't form a ribbon development and moved that the appeal be upheld and planning permission granted. This was seconded by Councillor Ross.
- 2.8 On a division there voted:

For the Motion (4): Councillors Macrae, Feaver, Bremner and Gatt

For the Amendment (2): Councillors Patience and Ross

Amendments (0): None

2.9 Accordingly the motion became the finding of the Committee and it was agreed to dismiss Case 190 and uphold the decision of the Appointed Officer to refuse planning permission in respect of Planning Application 17/00576/APP.

Paul Nevin
Senior Solicitor
Legal Adviser to the MLRB

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997

Notification to be sent to Applicant on determination by the Planning Authority of an application following a review conducted under Section 43A(8)

Notice Under Regulation 22 of the Town and Country Planning (Schemes of Delegation and Local Review Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2013

- 1. If the Applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the Planning Authority to refuse permission or approval required by a condition in respect of the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, the Applicant may question the validity of that decision by making an application to the Court of Session. An application to the Court of Session must be made within 6 weeks of the date of the decision.
- 2. If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the Planning Authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of the owner of the land's interest in the land in accordance with Part V of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.