## Complaints Monitoring Report Corporate Services

#### Quarter 2 2017/18 - July to September 2017

<b>Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints C</b>	losed				
NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Q1 2017/18	Q2 2017/18
Total number of complaints received	6	2	5	7	7
Total number of complaints closed	9	2	5	5	7

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed										
	Q2 20	16/17	Q3 20	16/17	Q4 20	16/17	Q1 20	17/18	Q2 20	17/18
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	number	%								
Number of complaints closed - Frontline	7	78%	1	50%	4	80%	3	60%	3	43%
Number of complaints closed - Investigative	1	11%	1	50%	1	20%	2	40%	4	57%
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 20	16/17	Q3 20	16/17	Q4 20	16/17	Q1 20	17/18	Q2 2017/18		
FRONTLINE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Frontline complaints upheld	4	57%	1	100%	1	25%	2	67%	2	67%	
Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld	1	14%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	
Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	2	29%	0	0%	2	50%	1	33%	1	33%	

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 20	016/17	Q3 20	16/17	Q4 20	16/17	Q1 20	17/18	Q2 20	17/18	
INVESTIGATIVE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Investigative complaints upheld	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	
Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	2	50%	
Number of Investigative complaints not upheld	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	1	50%	1	25%	

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 20	16/17	Q3 20	16/17	Q4 20	16/17	Q1 20	17/18	Q2 20	17/18	
ESCALATED	number	%									
Number of Escalated complaints upheld	1	100%	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld	0	0%	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Number of Escalated complaints not upheld	0	0%	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage										
RESPONSE TIME	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Q1 2017/18	Q2 2017/18					
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	3.1	4	3.5	3	7.3					
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	20	15	22	21.5	12.5					
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage	e which	were clo	sed in fu	III within	the set t	imescale	s of 5 ar	nd 20 wo	rking da	ys
	Q2 20	)16/17	Q3 20	)16/17	Q4 20	16/17	Q1 2017/18		Q2 20	17/18
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	7	100%	1	100%	4	100%	3	100%	1	33%
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	50%	3	75%
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated	1	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number and percentage of complaints at each stage w	here an e	extension	to the 5	or 20 wor	king day	timeline h	nas been	authoris	ed	
	Q2 20	16/17	Q3 20	Q3 2016/17 Q4 2016/1		16/17	Q1 2017/18		Q2 2017/	
EXTENSIONS	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

UPHELD OR PA	ARTIALLY UPHELD	COMPLAINTS		
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken
101001534351	Process/Procedure	Partially Upheld	Revenues	Customer complained that she had submitted form way back in March and now it was June and nothing had progressed. There is a backlog with processing applications, form was processed that day and customer contacted to advise action had been taken
101001579109	Process/Procedure	Upheld	Payments	There had been an overlap in the information being received to the reminder letter being issued. Information to be passed on as soon as possible to avoid overlaps.

101001594567	Council Tax	Upheld	Revenues	Details not provided
101001596143	Council Tax	Upheld	Revenues	Details not provided
101001611079	Complaint Against Staff	Partially Upheld	Human Resources	The driver was parked in a disabled parking space although they were not aware of this at the time. Information from complaint regarding the photos is not entirely accurate of the account. Staff member now aware that this space is a disabled parking space and will not park there in future.

## Complaints Monitoring Report Development Services

#### Quarter 2 2017/18 - July to September 2017

<b>Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Cl</b>	osed				
NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Q1 2017/18	Q2 2017/18
Total number of complaints received	12	3	9	5	5
Total number of complaints closed	10	5	9	4	5

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed										
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 20	)16/17	Q4 20	Q4 2016/17 Q1 2017/18		Q2 2017/18		
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints closed - Frontline	7	70%	1	20%	3	33%	2	50%	3	60%
Number of complaints closed - Investigative	3	30%	4	80%	6	67%	2	50%	2	40%
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage										
	Q2 20	16/17	Q3 20	016/17	Q4 20	)16/17	Q1 20	)17/18	Q2 20	17/18
FRONTLINE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of Frontline complaints upheld	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%
Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	6	86%	1	100%	3	100%	2	100%	2	67%

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20	17/18	
INVESTIGATIVE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Investigative complaints upheld	1	33%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld	1	33%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	
Number of Investigative complaints not upheld	1	33%	3	75%	5	83%	2	100%	2	100%	

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 20	16/17	Q3 20	16/17	Q4 20	16/17	Q1 2017/18		Q2 20	17/18	
ESCALATED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Escalated complaints upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Number of Escalated complaints not upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage											
RESPONSE TIME	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Q1 2017/18	Q2 2017/18						
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	2.7	5	4.7	4	2.3						
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	13	22.3	14.8	19	14						
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days										
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20	17/18
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	7	100%	1	100%	1	33%	2	100%	3	100%
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative	3	100%	2	50%	6	100%	2	100%	2	100%
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised										
	Q2 20	16/17	Q3 20	Q3 2016/17 Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20	17/18	
EXTENSIONS	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

UPHELD OR PAR	UPHELD OR PARTIALLY UPHELD COMPLAINTS											
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken								
101001615762	Other	Partially Upheld	Head of Service	Redress - In the future Head of Service will confirm who will be attending any meetings in advance so that all attendees are aware.								

### Complaints Monitoring Report Direct Services

#### Quarter 2 2017/18 - July to September 2017

Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed											
NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Q1 2017/18	Q2 2017/18						
Total number of complaints received	55	32	30	34	39						
Total number of complaints closed	56	33	31	30	38						

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20°	17/18	
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of complaints closed - Frontline	54	96%	32	97%	30	97%	29	97%	27	71%	
Number of complaints closed -											
Investigative	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	8	21%	
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	2	4%	1	3%	1	3%	0	0%	3	8%	

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 2017/18		
FRONTLINE	number	%									
Number of Frontline complaints upheld	24	44%	9	28%	9	30%	13	45%	11	41%	
Number of Frontline complaints partially											
upheld	1	2%	3	9%	0	0%	0	0%	2	7%	
Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	29	54%	20	63%	21	70%	16	55%	14	52%	

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20°	17/18	
INVESTIGATIVE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Investigative complaints upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	
Number of Investigative complaints											
partially upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0%	1	13%	
Number of Investigative complaints not								·			
upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	100%	7	88%	

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 201	17/18	
ESCALATED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Escalated complaints upheld	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	N/A	2	67%	
Number of Escalated complaints partially											
upheld	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	N/A	0	0%	
Number of Escalated complaints not											
upheld	2	100%	1	100%	1	100%	0	N/A	1	33%	

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage											
RESPONSE TIME	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Q1 2017/18	Q2 2017/18						
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	3.2	3.7	2.8	3.3	2.6						
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	N/A	N/A	N/A	18	12.4						
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	21.5	6	12	N/A	41						

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 20	16/17	Q4 20	16/17	Q1 20	17/18	Q2 20	17/18	
	numbe	numbe			numbe		numbe				
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES	r	%	r	%	r	%	r	%	number	%	
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	51	94%	30	94%	28	93%	28	97%	27	100%	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	100%	8	100%	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated	1	50%	1	100%	1	100%	0	N/A	1	33%	

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 201	16/17	Q4 201	Q4 2016/17		7/18	Q2 201	7/18	
	numbe		numbe		numbe		numbe				
EXTENSIONS	r	%	r	%	r	%	r	%	number	%	
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **UPHELD OR PARTIALLY UPHELD COMPLAINTS**

ID	Type of Complaint	Outcom e	Responsible Officer	Action taken
10100155195 9	Process/Procedur e	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	Redress: The crew were reminded of the importance of emptying all bins.
10100156706 6	Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Assistant Resources Officer	Redress: The member of staff was told to be considerate when parking
10100156907 3	Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Assistant Lands & Parks Officer	Redress: The member of staff was told that his conduct was unacceptable
10100156967 0	Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Cleansing Officer	Redress: The member of staff has been spoken to and an apology was given to the complainant.
10100157881 5	Household Collections	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	Redress: The crew were reminded to double check all bins and report back to the monitoring staff if there are any issues.
10100158201 7	Process/Procedur e	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	Redress: The crew were reminded of the importance of not missing bins.
10100159096 6	Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Area Engineer West	Reinforcement: The member of staff was spoken to.
10100159429 2	Household Collections	Upheld	Cleansing Officer	Redress: The crew were reminded that they must put the bins back for safety reasons and in such a way so as not to obstruct driveways.
10100160634 5	Process/Procedur e	Partially Upheld	Monitoring/Acting Disposal Officer	Redress: Letters to customers following spot audits of bins are to be rewritten using a less harsh tone.
10100161636 2	Household Collections	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	Redress: The customer received an apology. The crew were reminded that they should double check all bins and report back to the monitoring staff if there are any issues.
10100161650 4	Other	Partially Upheld	Recycling Assistant	Revision: Arrangements have been made for the path to be swept
10100161992 5	Household Collections	Upheld	Recycling Assistant	Redress: The crew were reminded that they should double check all bins and report back to the monitoring staff if there are any issues.

ID	Type of Complaint	Outcom e	Responsible Officer	Action taken
10100152592	Process/Procedur	Upheld	Recycling	Redress: A works order reminding crews of the importance of putting
0	е	Oprieid	Assistant	bins back to their original placing has been sent to operations.
10100157196 1	Other	Partially Upheld	PPR and Communication Officer	Revision: After consultation the path will be re-designated through the new 2018 Core Path Process.
10100158477 3	Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Monitoring/Acting Disposal Officer	Redress: The customer received an apology and the member of staff was reminded of customer handling procedures

### **Complaints Monitoring Report Integrated Children's Services**

### **Quarter 2 2017/18 – July-September 2017**

Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed												
<b>NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS</b> Q2 2016/17 Q3 2016/17 Q4 2016/17 Q1 2017/18 Q2 2017/18												
Total number of complaints received	8	5	9	5	5							
Total number of complaints closed	6	7	6	6	1							

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative	Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed											
	Q2 20	16/17	Q3 20	16/17	Q4 20	16/17	Q1 20	17/18	Q2 20	)17/18		
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%		
Number of complaints closed - Frontline	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	33%	1	100%		
Number of complaints closed - Investigative	6	100%	7	100%	6	100%	2	33%	0	-		
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	33%	0	-		

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 2016/17 Q3 2016/17 Q4 2016/17 Q1 2017/18 Q2 2017/18										
FRONTLINE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Frontline complaints upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	
Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	

Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	2	100%	1	100%
-------------------------------------------	---	-----	---	-----	---	-----	---	------	---	------

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 20	16/17	Q4 20	16/17	Q1 2017/18		Q2 20°	17/18	
INVESTIGATIVE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Investigative complaints upheld	3	50%	3	43%	2	33%	0	0%	0	-	
Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld	1	17%	1	14%	1	17%	1	50%	0	-	
Number of Investigative complaints not upheld	2	33%	3	43%	3	50%	1	50%	0	-	

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 20	16/17	Q3 20	16/17	Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20	017/18	
ESCALATED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Escalated complaints upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	50%	0	-	
Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	50%	0	-	
Number of Escalated complaints not upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0%	0	-	

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage										
RESPONSE TIME	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Q1 2017/18	Q2 2017/18					
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1					
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	23	42	20	31	N/A					
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	40	N/A					

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 20	16/17	Q4 2016/17		Q1 20	Q1 2017/18		)17/18	
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	100%	1	100%	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative	2	33%	2	29%	2	33%	0	0%	N/A	-	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%	N/A	-	

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20	17/18	
EXTENSIONS	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	1	17%	3	43%	1	17%	3	75%	0	0%	

# Complaints Monitoring Report Schools and Curriculum Development & Lifelong Learning Culture & Sport Services

#### **Quarter 1 2017/18 – July to September 2017**

Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed										
NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Q1 2017/18	Q2 2017/18					
Total number of complaints received	7	8	13	11	4					
Total number of complaints closed	10	10	10	15	7					

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed										
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20	)17/18
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints closed - Frontline	2	20%	3	30%	6	60%	2	13%	0	-
Number of complaints closed - Investigative	8	80%	7	70%	4	40%	13	87%	7	100%
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	0	0%	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage										
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 2017/18	
FRONTLINE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of Frontline complaints upheld	0	0%	1	33%	3	50%	0	0%	N/A	-
Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	N/A	-
Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	2	100%	2	67%	3	50%	2	100%	N/A	-

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage										
	Q2 20	16/17	Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20	17/18
INVESTIGATIVE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of Investigative complaints upheld	0	0%	1	14%	1	25%	2	15%	0	0%
Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld	3	38%	3	43%	1	25%	5	38%	2	29%
Number of Investigative complaints not upheld	5	62%	3	43%	2	50%	6	46%	5	71%

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage										
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20°	17/18
ESCALATED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of Escalated complaints upheld	N/A	-	N/A	-	N/A	-	N/A	-	N/A	-
Number of Escalated complaints partially										
upheld	N/A	-	N/A	-	N/A	-	N/A	-	N/A	-
Number of Escalated complaints not upheld	N/A	-	N/A	-	N/A	-	N/A	-	N/A	-

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage										
RESPONSE TIME	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Q1 2017/18	Q2 2017/18					
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	2	17	3	1	N/A					
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	33	25	20	30	29					
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20°	17/18	
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	2	100%	1	33%	6	100%	2	100%	N/A	-	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative	2	25%	4	57%	1	25%	4	31%	3	43	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised										
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20	17/18
EXTENSIONS	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	0	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	6	75%	3	43%	2	50%	4	31%	0	0%

UPHELD OR PA	UPHELD OR PARTIALLY UPHELD COMPLAINTS										
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken							
101001529941	Against Staff	Part upheld	Quality Improvement Officer	Any changes to pupil's timetable will be discussed with parent and pupil.							
101001540157	Process / Procedures	Part upheld	Quality Improvement Officer	Recommend that school records QIO requests, made by parents for their children's education, so that these can be added to future IEPs.							

# Complaints Monitoring Report Housing and Property Services

### Quarter 2 2017/18 - July to September 2017

Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed					
NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Q1 2017/18	Q2 2017/18
Total number of complaints received	38	32	45	35	32
Total number of complaints closed	38	34	40	29	35

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 20	17/18	
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of complaints closed - Frontline	21	55%	21	62%	29	73%	15	52%	21	60%	
Number of complaints closed - Investigative	17	45%	13	38%	11	28%	14	48%	14	40%	
Number of complaints closed - Escalated	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 20	Q2 2016/17 Q3 2016/17			Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 2017/18		
FRONTLINE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Frontline complaints upheld	12	57%	10	48%	17	59%	3	20%	7	33%	
Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld	0	0%	1	5%	3	10%	5	33%	3	14%	

Number of Frontline complaints not upheld	9	43%	10	48%	9	31%	7	47%	11	52%
-------------------------------------------	---	-----	----	-----	---	-----	---	-----	----	-----

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17 Q4		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 2017/18		
INVESTIGATIVE	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of Investigative complaints upheld	5	29%	3	23%	4	36%	3	21%	3	21%	
Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld		12%	3	23%	0	0%	4	29%	3	21%	
Number of Investigative complaints not upheld	10	59%	7	54%	7	64%	7	50%	8	57%	

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld /	Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 20	Q3 2016/17 Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 2017/18				
ESCALATED	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%		
Number of Escalated complaints upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		
Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		
Number of Escalated complaints not upheld	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A		

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage										
RESPONSE TIME	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Q1 2017/18	Q2 2017/18					
Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline	2.9	4.1	3.4	7.1	9.7					
Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative	15.3	16.9	14.9	18.3	18.4					
Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days											
	Q2 2016/17		Q3 2016/17		Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 2017/18		
MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES		%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline	21	100%	19	90%	29	100%	9	60%	11	52%	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative	15	88%	11	85%	10	91%	8	57%	8	57%	
Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised										
	Q2 2016/17 Q3 2016/1		16/17	Q4 2016/17		Q1 2017/18		Q2 2017/18		
EXTENSIONS	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

UPHELD OR PA	UPHELD OR PARTIALLY UPHELD COMPLAINTS												
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken									
101001527100	Frontline - Other	Partially Upheld	Home Improvements Services Manager	Redress - Apology given to the complainant. The wording of the letter will also be reviewed.									
101001541463	Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Asset Manager	Redress - Apology given for the time taken to get the repair carried out. Order now placed for the works to be carried out.									
101001545856	Frontline - Complaint Against Staff	Partially Upheld	Housing Needs Officer	Reinforcement - Apology given. Officer directed to wait a little longer after knocking to give them a chance to get to the door, before trying									

				it.
101001555648	Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Business Manager	Redress - Apology given for the mix up and explanation given on how the jobs are allocated
101001556747	Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Partially Upheld	Heating & Electrical Manager	Redress - A plumber should have been sent with the joiners to complete the works in one go. However job was still completed within target
101001577274	Frontline - Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Plumbing and Heating Supervisor	Redress/Reinforcement - Apologised to client for poor service received. Awaiting letter from Transco as to what their gas engineer found when he attended to decide on the level of action to be taken
101001587213	Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Business Manager	Redress - Gutter repairs not up to scratch - Slater was sent to repair job and client is now happy
101001596451	Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Asset Manager	Redress - Tenant has further signs of cracks and mould in walls after works were completed DLO to attend again to rectify
101001597696	Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Business Manager	Reimbursement / Redress - TV Aerial installation company to attend to repair/replace cable. DLO will pay
101001603294	Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Business Manager	Redress - Attended wrong address and never returned Repair to be completed
101001518499	Investigative - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Heating & Electrical Manager	Redress - Apology given for poor service. Building Services Manager to reflect on the issues raised and to consider what measures to put in place to prevent this from recurring
101001529986	Investigative - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Partially Upheld	Housing Services Manager	Reinforcement - Apology given for giving out conflicting information
101001552995	Investigative - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Partially Upheld	Asset Manager	Reinforcement - Apology given for the letter being sent to the wrong address - More care to be taken to check details
101001557552	Investigative - Other	Partially Upheld	Head of Housing and Property Services	Redress - Ongoing concerns with neighbours Meeting to be arranged with housing service manager
101001579329	Investigative - Allocations	Upheld	Housing Needs Officer	Redress - Client offered another property and happy with this solution
101001581018	Investigative - Repairs/Capital/Planned	Upheld	Asset Manager	Redress - Repairs carried out on pipework and floorboards

_		_		
ma	intenance			