Complaints Monitoring Report Chief Executive's Office

Quarter 3 2017/18 - October to December 2017

| Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints received | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints closed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | |

| Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | | |
| NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED | number | % | |
| Number of complaints closed - Frontline | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 | 100% | |
| Number of complaints closed - Investigative | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0% | |
| Number of complaints closed - Escalated | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0% | |

| Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20° | 17/18 | |
| FRONTLINE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of Frontline complaints upheld | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0% | |
| Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 | 100% | |
| Number of Frontline complaints not upheld | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0% | |

| Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------------------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 ² | 17/18 | |
| INVESTIGATIVE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of Investigative complaints upheld | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Number of Investigative complaints not upheld | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | | |
| ESCALATED | number | % | |
| Number of Escalated complaints upheld | N/A | N/A | |
| Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld | N/A | N/A | |
| Number of Escalated complaints not upheld | N/A | N/A | |

| The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| RESPONSE TIME | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3.0 | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | |

| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 | 17/18 |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------|-------|
| MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 | 100% |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------------------|-------|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 ⁻ | 17/18 |
| EXTENSIONS | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| UPHELD OR PA | ARTIALLY UPHELD COI | MPLAINTS | | |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|
| ID | Type of Complaint | Outcome | Responsible Officer | Action taken |
| 101001671378 | Equalities Issues | Partially Upheld | Equalities Officer | The complaint concerned wheelchair access to an outdoor event that had not been organised by the council. However, the complaint was found to be valid and the organisers will look at ensuring access for approximately 30 wheelchair users at the 2018 event. |

Complaints Monitoring Report Corporate Services

Quarter 3 2017/18 - October to December 2017

| Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints received | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 10 | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints closed | 2 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 10 | | | | | |

| Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------|-------|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 201 | 17/18 |
| NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints closed - Frontline | 1 | 50% | 4 | 80% | 3 | 60% | 3 | 43% | 9 | 90% |
| Number of complaints closed - Investigative | 1 | 50% | 1 | 20% | 2 | 40% | 4 | 57% | 1 | 10% |
| Number of complaints closed - Escalated | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |

| Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | | |
| FRONTLINE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of Frontline complaints upheld | 1 | 100% | 1 | 25% | 2 | 67% | 2 | 67% | 6 | 67% | |
| Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld | 0 | 0% | 1 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% | |
| Number of Frontline complaints not upheld | 0 | 0% | 2 | 50% | 1 | 33% | 1 | 33% | 2 | 22% | |

| Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------|--------|-------|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 20 | 16/17 | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 | 17/18 | |
| INVESTIGATIVE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of Investigative complaints upheld | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 25% | 0 | 0% | |
| Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 2 | 50% | 0 | 0% | |
| Number of Investigative complaints not upheld | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% | 1 | 50% | 1 | 25% | 1 | 100% | |

| Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|--------|-------|--------------------|-------|--------|-----------------|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 20° | 16/17 | Q1 20 ² | 17/18 | Q2 201 | Q2 2017/18 Q3 2 | | 17/18 | |
| ESCALATED | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of Escalated complaints upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Number of Escalated complaints not upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| RESPONSE TIME | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline | 4 | 3.5 | 3 | 7.3 | 4.2 | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative | 15 | 22 | 21.5 | 12.5 | 19 | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|------------|-----|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 | 17/18 | |
| MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES | number % | | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline | 1 | 100% | 4 | 100% | 3 | 100% | 1 | 33% | 7 | 78% | |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 3 | 75% | 1 | 100% | |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----|--------|-------|------------|----|------------|----|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 201 | 16/17 | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 201 | 17/18 | |
| EXTENSIONS | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |

| UPHELD OR PA | ARTIALLY UPHELD COM | //PLAINTS | | |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--|
| ID | Type of Complaint | Outcome | Responsible Officer | Action taken |
| 101001632321 | Council Tax | Upheld | Taxation Processing Officer | The team leader of the frontline staff has been reminded of the importance of ensuring all enquiries are treated with care and courtesy. The standard letters for Council Tax arrears will be reviewed in light of the complainant's comments about how the contents of these letters can be refined and improved. A mutually acceptable repayment arrangement is now in place following contact from the Citizen's Advice Bureau. |
| 101001633588 | Process/Procedure | Upheld | Mail Room Team Leader | To prevent this happening again a new Housing Benefit Account has been created with the correct address details. |

| 101001666611 | Process/Procedure | Upheld | Benefits Appeals Officer | To prevent this happening again a new Housing Benefit Account has been created with the correct address details. |
|--------------|-------------------|------------------|---|---|
| 101001672373 | Other | Upheld | Benefits Manager | Client was looking for advice to try and resolve her current financial situation. Redress action taken against adviser. |
| 101001676145 | Other | Partially Upheld | Customer Services Contact Centre Team Leader | Customer requested the postcode of a local church hall to enable broadband to be installed. Incorrect postcode details provided by the Council, but in good faith. The church hall in question did not have a postcode and caller was advised to submit a street naming and numbering form to the appropriate agency. |
| 101001682739 | Process/Procedure | Upheld | Assistant Manager - FMS & Banking | Staff have been reminded that payments need to be made immediately. |
| 101001686060 | Process/Procedure | Upheld | Assistant Manager - FMS & Banking | Service to speak to Education to remind them that invoices must be raised timeously. |

Complaints Monitoring Report Development Services

Quarter 3 2017/18 - October to December 2017

| Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS Q3 2016/17 Q4 2016/17 Q1 2017/18 Q2 2017/18 Q3 2017/18 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints received | 3 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints closed | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 8 | | | | | | |

| Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|------------|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 20 | 16/17 | Q1 20 | 17/18 | Q2 20 | Q2 2017/18 | | 17/18 | |
| NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of complaints closed - Frontline | 1 | 20% | 3 | 33% | 2 | 50% | 3 | 60% | 1 | 13% | |
| Number of complaints closed - Investigative | 4 | 80% | 6 | 67% | 2 | 50% | 2 | 40% | 7 | 88% | |
| Number of complaints closed - Escalated | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |

| Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 20 |)16/17 | Q4 20 | 16/17 | Q1 20 | 17/18 | Q2 20 | 17/18 | Q3 20 | 17/18 | |
| FRONTLINE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of Frontline complaints upheld | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 1 | 100% | |
| Number of Frontline complaints not upheld | 1 | 100% | 3 | 100% | 2 | 100% | 2 | 67% | 0 | 0% | |

| Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 20 | 16/17 | Q4 20 | 16/17 | Q1 20 | 17/18 | Q2 20 | 17/18 | Q3 20 | 17/18 | |
| INVESTIGATIVE | number | % | |
| Number of Investigative complaints upheld | 1 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Number of Investigative complaints not upheld | 3 | 75% | 5 | 83% | 2 | 100% | 2 | 100% | 7 | 100% | |

| Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------------|-----|-------|-------|--|
| | Q3 20 | 16/17 | Q4 20 | 16/17 | Q1 20 | 17/18 | 7/18 Q2 2017 | | Q3 20 | 17/18 | |
| ESCALATED | number | number | number | % | number | % | | | | | |
| Number of Escalated complaints upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | |
| Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | |
| Number of Escalated complaints not upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | |

| The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RESPONSE TIME | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline | 5 | 4.7 | 4 | 2.3 | 2 | | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative | 22.3 | 14.8 | 19 | 14 | 30.4 | | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | | |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage | which we | ere close | ed in full | within th | ne set tin | nescales | of 5 and | d 20 wor | king day | 'S |
|--|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|----------|--------|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 |)17/18 |
| MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline | 1 | 100% | 1 | 33% | 2 | 100% | 3 | 100% | 1 | 100% |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative | 2 | 50% | 6 | 100% | 2 | 100% | 2 | 100% | 3 | 43% |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------|------------|----|------------|----|------------|----|--------|-------|
| | Q3 20 | 16/17 | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 | 17/18 |
| EXTENSIONS | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative | 2 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |

| UPHELD OR PA | ARTIALLY UPHELD | COMPLAINTS | | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---|
| ID | Type of Complaint | Outcome | Responsible Officer | Action taken |
| 101001636164 | Frontline – Process/Procedure | Partly Upheld | Trading Standards Manager | Redress - Explained the process and apologised for customer receiving the wrong information, which they accepted. A 'token' based system was introduced where advance notification of the number of appointments available each week is given and a physical token to manage allocation of available appointments. |

Complaints Monitoring Report Direct Services

Quarter 3 2017/18 - September to December 2017

| Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS Q3 2016/17 Q4 2016/17 Q1 2017/18 Q2 2017/18 Q3 2017/18 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints received | 32 | 30 | 34 | 39 | 57 | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints closed | 33 | 31 | 30 | 38 | 56 | | | | | |

| Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------|-------|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 | 17/18 |
| NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints closed - Frontline | 32 | 97% | 30 | 97% | 29 | 97% | 27 | 71% | 47 | 84% |
| Number of complaints closed - Investigative | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 8 | 21% | 9 | 16% |
| Number of complaints closed - Escalated | 1 | 3% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 8% | 0 | 0% |

| Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-------|------------|-----|--------|-------|
| | Q3 2016/17 Q4 2016/17 | | | | Q1 20 | 17/18 | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20° | 17/18 |
| FRONTLINE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of Frontline complaints upheld | 9 | 28% | 9 | 30% | 13 | 45% | 11 | 41% | 9 | 19% |
| Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld | 3 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 7% | 3 | 6% |

| Number of Frontline complaints not upheld | 20 | 63% | 21 | 70% | 16 | 55% | 14 | 52% | 35 | 74% |
|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|------|------------|-----|--------|--------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 |)17/18 | |
| INVESTIGATIVE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of Investigative complaints upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0% | 1 | 13% | 0 | 0% | |
| Number of Investigative complaints not upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 1 | 100% | 7 | 88% | 9 | 100% | |

| Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------|------------|------|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20° | 17/18 | |
| ESCALATED | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of Escalated complaints upheld | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | N/A | 2 | 67% | 0 | N/A | |
| Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0% | 0 | N/A | |
| Number of Escalated complaints not upheld | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% | 0 | N/A | 1 | 33% | 0 | N/A | |

| The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| RESPONSE TIME | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline | 3.7 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.9 | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative | N/A | N/A | 18 | 12.4 | 23.4 | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated | 6 | 12 | N/A | 41 | N/A | | | | | |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 | 17/18 | |
| MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline | 30 | 94% | 28 | 93% | 28 | 97% | 27 | 100% | 38 | 81% | |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 1 | 100% | 8 | 100% | 6 | 67% | |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% | 0 | N/A | 1 | 33% | 0 | N/A | |

Complaint ID 101001669322 was 43 days late due a mix up that meant it was not recorded as a complaint until Direct Services became involved. The issue started as an investigation by the Audit section into the conduct of a Development Services staff member.

Complaint ID 101001625037 was three days late. Staff were reminded if that if they are out of office due to sickness or on leave that another member of staff should deal with any current complaints.

Complaint ID 101001630199 was one day late due to staff absence.

Complaint ID 101001667509 was one day late. No explanation.

Complaint ID 101001678029 was one day late. No explanation.

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----|------------|----|------------|----|------------|----|------------|-----|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | |
| EXTENSIONS | number | % |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 4% |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |

UPHELD OR PARTIALLY UPHELD COMPLAINTS

| ID | Type of Complaint | Outcome | Responsible Officer | Action taken |
|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 101001625389 | Other | Partially Upheld | Senior Engineer Traffic | Redress: The complainant was told when the work would be done and given an apology. |
| 101001633328 | Household Collections | Upheld | Recycling Assistant | Redress: The vehicle which usually serviced the bins was off the road and the larger vehicle was not able to gain access. An officer visited the complainant to explain what had happened and apologise. |
| 101001641790 | Household Collections | Upheld | Recycling Assistant | Redress: The complainant was visited by an officer and was told why there was a mix up with the bins. |
| 101001644478 | Process/Procedure | Upheld | Recycling Assistant | Redress: The complainant was given an explanation for the missed bin. A lorry had broken down. |
| 101001649060 | Complaint Against Staff | Upheld | DLO Business Manager | Redress: The member of staff was disciplined. And staff were reminded of the safe driving policy. |
| 101001663574 | Complaint Against Staff | Partially Upheld | Cleansing Officer | Redress: The member of staff was spoken to. |
| 101001664002 | Other | Partially Upheld | Roads Technician West | Redress: The complainant received an apology |
| 101001670472 | Household Collections | Upheld | Recycling Assistant | Redress: The missed bin was serviced – The crews were reminded of the importance of not missing bins. |
| 101001676523 | Household Collections | Upheld | Recycling Assistant | Redress: A bin was misidentified as contaminated and therefore wasn't serviced. The crews were reminded of the procedure of tagging contaminated bins and informing the area recycling assistant. |

| ID | Type of Complaint | Outcome | Responsible Officer | Action taken |
|--------------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|--|
| 101001688309 | Other | Upheld | Waste Management Officer | Redress: An apology was given for the behaviour of staff member. – The staff are to be reminded that they represent TMC at work. |
| 101001689263 | Household Collections | Upheld | Recycling Assistant | Redress: Bin missed due to bad weather. An apology was given. |
| 101001691429 | Household Collections | Upheld | Recycling Assistant | Redress: Bin missed due to bad weather. An apology was given. |

Complaints Monitoring Report Integrated Children's Services

Quarter 3 2017/18 - October - December 2017

| Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | |
| Total number of complaints received | 5 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 9 | | | |
| Total number of complaints closed | 7 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 5 | | | |

| Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
| | Q3 20 | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | |)17/18 |
| NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED | number % | | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints closed - Frontline | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | 33% | 1 | 100% | 0 | - |
| Number of complaints closed - Investigative | | 100% | 6 | 100% | 2 | 33% | 0 | - | 5 | 100% |
| Number of complaints closed - Escalated | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | 33% | 0 | - | 0 | - |

| Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|------|------------|------|------------|----|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | |
| FRONTLINE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of Frontline complaints upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Number of Frontline complaints not upheld | 0 N/A | | 0 | N/A | 2 | 100% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% |

| Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|---|------------|-----|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | |
| INVESTIGATIVE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of Investigative complaints upheld | 3 | 43% | 2 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld | 1 | 14% | 1 | 17% | 1 | 50% | 0 | - | 4 | 80% |
| Number of Investigative complaints not upheld | 3 | 43% | 3 | 50% | 1 | 50% | 0 | - | 1 | 20% |

| Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|---|------------|---|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | |
| ESCALATED | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of Escalated complaints upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 1 | 50% | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 1 | 50% | 0 | - | 0 | ı |
| Number of Escalated complaints not upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0% | 0 | - | 0 | - |

| The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| RESPONSE TIME | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline | N/A | N/A | 1 | 1 | N/A | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative | 42 | 20 | 31 | N/A | 49 | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated | N/A | N/A | 40 | N/A | N/A | | | | |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|------|------------|------|--------|-------|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 | 17/18 |
| MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 2 | 100% | 1 | 100% | N/A | N/A |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative | 2 | 29% | 2 | 33% | 0 | 0% | N/A | - | 1 | 20% |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0% | N/A | - | N/A | N/A |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|----|------------|-----|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | |
| EXTENSIONS | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative | 3 | 43% | 1 | 17% | 3 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 40% |

| UPHELD | OR PARTIALLY UPH | ELD COMPLA | INTS | |
|---------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|
| ID | Type of Complaint | Outcome | Responsible Officer | Action taken |
| 1610556 | Complaint against staff | Partially upheld | Susan McLaren | Recommendations for further assessment of the current situation in an effort to better understand the history and to inform future planning |
| 1627094 | Process/Procedure | Partially upheld | Susan McLaren | Further support will be provided to schools in relation to monitoring wellbeing and preparing for transition for pupils with autism. |
| 1629305 | Complaint against staff | Partially upheld | Susan McLaren | Ensure to work with staff to improve communication in future |
| 1637638 | Complaint against staff | Partially upheld | Susan McLaren | Minutes to be sent as soon as practicable |

Complaints Monitoring Report Schools and Curriculum Development & Lifelong Learning Culture & Sport Services

Quarter 3 2017/18 - October - December 2017

| Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints received | 8 | 13 | 11 | 4 | 11 | | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints closed | 10 | 10 | 15 | 7 | 10 | | | | | | |

| Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigat | ive Stage | es as a p | ercentag | e of all c | omplaint | s closed | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------|------------|-----|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | |
| NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints closed - Frontline | 3 | 30% | 6 | 60% | 2 | 13% | 0 | - | 4 | 40% |
| Number of complaints closed - Investigative | 7 | 70% | 4 | 40% | 13 | 87% | 7 | 100% | 6 | 60% |
| Number of complaints closed - Escalated | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0% |

| Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|------|------------|---|------------|-----|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | | |
| FRONTLINE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of Frontline complaints upheld | 1 | 33% | 3 | 50% | 0 | 0% | N/A | - | 1 | 25% | |
| Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | N/A | - | 0 | 0% | |
| Number of Frontline complaints not upheld | 2 | 67% | 3 | 50% | 2 | 100% | N/A | - | 3 | 75% | |

| Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | | | | | | | | | |
| INVESTIGATIVE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of Investigative complaints upheld | 1 | 14% | 1 | 25% | 2 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 16.7% | |
| Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld | 3 | 43% | 1 | 25% | 5 | 38% | 2 | 29% | 3 | 50% | |
| Number of Investigative complaints not upheld | 3 | 43% | 2 | 50% | 6 | 46% | 5 | 71% | 2 | 33.3% | |

| Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / pa | rtially uph | eld / no | t upheld a | s a perd | entage of | fcompla | aints clos | ed in ful | l at each | stage |
|--|-------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 20° | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | 17/18 |
| ESCALATED | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of Escalated complaints upheld | N/A | - | N/A | - | N/A | - | N/A | - | N/A | - |
| Number of Escalated complaints partially | | | | | | | | | | |
| upheld | N/A | - | N/A | - | N/A | - | N/A | - | N/A | - |
| Number of Escalated complaints not upheld | N/A | - | N/A | - | N/A | - | N/A | - | N/A | - |

| The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RESPONSE TIME | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline | 17 | 3 | 1 | N/A | 5 | | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative | 25 | 20 | 30 | 29 | 29 | | | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | | |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|------|------------|------|------------|----|--------|--------|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 | 017/18 |
| MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline | 1 | 33% | 6 | 100% | 2 | 100% | N/A | - | 2 | 50% |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative | 4 | 57% | 1 | 25% | 4 | 31% | 3 | 43 | 2 | 33.3% |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | N/A | - |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each st | Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|----|------------|-------|--|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | | | |
| EXTENSIONS | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | | |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | | |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative | 3 | 43% | 2 | 50% | 4 | 31% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 16.7% | | |

| UPHELD C | R PARTIALLY UPHE | ELD COMPL | AINTS | |
|----------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---|
| ID | Type of Complaint | Outcome | Responsible Officer | Action taken |
| 1623065 | Process/Procedure | Upheld | Ken Brown | Hirers will be advised of when Caretaker service is available. |
| 1620495 | Bullying | Partially Upheld | Vivienne Cross | The equipment that caused injury has been identified as a poor service provision and the school has removed it. |
| 1629910 | Process/Procedure | Upheld | Susan Maclaren | Any decisions with regard to placement made by team around the young person and parent/child present. Minutes of meetings passed on in timely manner. |
| 1631114 | Process/Procedure | Partially Upheld | Vivienne Cross | School to improve record keeping of incident investigations and improve communication to parents. Emails to go through School Admin office not directly to class teacher. |
| 1634654 | Process/Procedure | Partially Upheld | Vivienne Cross | School to look at ways of working/communicating. |

Complaints Monitoring Report Housing and Property Services

Quarter 3 2017/18 - Oct to Dec 2017

| Total Complaints Received and Total Complaints Closed | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints received | 32 | 45 | 35 | 31 | 52 | | | | | | |
| Total number of complaints closed | 34 | 40 | 29 | 35 | 54 | | | | | | |

| Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 | 17/18 | |
| NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE CLOSED | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of complaints closed - Frontline | 21 | 62% | 29 | 73% | 15 | 52% | 21 | 60% | 38 | 70% | |
| Number of complaints closed - Investigative | 13 | 38% | 11 | 28% | 14 | 48% | 14 | 40% | 16 | 30% | |
| Number of complaints closed - Escalated | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |

| Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------|-------|--|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 | 17/18 | |
| FRONTLINE | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | |
| Number of Frontline complaints upheld | 10 | 48% | 17 | 59% | 3 | 20% | 7 | 33% | 6 | 16% | |
| Number of Frontline complaints partially upheld | 1 | 5% | 3 | 10% | 5 | 33% | 3 | 14% | 9 | 24% | |
| Number of Frontline complaints not upheld | 10 | 48% | 9 | 31% | 7 | 47% | 11 | 52% | 23 | 61% | |

| Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | |
| INVESTIGATIVE | number | % |
| Number of Investigative complaints upheld | 3 | 23% | 4 | 36% | 3 | 21% | 3 | 21% | 2 | 13% |
| Number of Investigative complaints partially upheld | | 23% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 29% | 3 | 21% | 3 | 19% |
| Number of Investigative complaints not upheld | 7 | 54% | 7 | 64% | 7 | 50% | 8 | 57% | 11 | 69% |

| Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------|-----|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | | | |
| ESCALATED | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of Escalated complaints upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Number of Escalated complaints partially upheld | | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Number of Escalated complaints not upheld | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |

| The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| RESPONSE TIME | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Q1 2017/18 | Q2 2017/18 | Q3 2017/18 | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Frontline | 4.1 | 3.4 | 7.1 | 9.67 | 5.05 | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Investigative | 16.9 | 14.9 | 18.3 | 18.43 | 27.69 | | | | |
| Average time in working days for a full response - Escalated | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|------|------------|-----|------------|-----|--------|-------|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 | | Q1 2017/18 | | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 20 | 17/18 |
| MEETING TARGET TIMESCALES | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints closed within 5 working days - Frontline | 19 | 90% | 29 | 100% | 9 | 60% | 11 | 52% | 27 | 71% |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Investigative | 11 | 85% | 10 | 91% | 8 | 57% | 8 | 57% | 9 | 56% |
| Number of complaints closed within 20 working days - Escalated | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |

| Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----|----------------------|----|--------|------------|--------|------------|--------|----|
| | Q3 2016/17 | | Q4 2016/17 Q1 2017/1 | | 17/18 | Q2 2017/18 | | Q3 2017/18 | | |
| EXTENSIONS | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % | number | % |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Frontline | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Number of complaints with an extension – Investigative or Escalated Investigative | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |

| UPHELD OR PARTIALLY UPHELD COMPLAINTS | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ID | Type of Complaint | Outcome | Responsible Officer | Action taken | | | | | |
| 101001621035 | Frontline - Complaint Against Staff | Upheld | Heating & Electrical Manager | Redress – Apology given and Staff member will be spoken to about their attitude and behaviour | | | | | |
| 101001631459 | Frontline - Complaint Against Staff | Upheld | Contracts Manager | Redress - Staff were told of concerns and appropriate actions taken. | | | | | |
| 101001649830 | Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance | Partially Upheld | DLO Manager | Revision - Apologies given, and lack of communication will be examined. | | | | | |
| 101001653276 | Frontline - Complaint Against Staff | Partially Upheld | Business Manager | Redress - Complainant offered apology and sent an insurance form for claim. Investigation of staff involved. | | | | | |

| 101001654163 | Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance | Partially Upheld | Business Manager | Redress - Repair to guttering has now been completed and an apology given. |
|--------------|---|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 101001660042 | Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance | Partially Upheld | Asset Manager / Business Manager | Redress - Council had no note of repair request therefore repair was not carried out. Tenant has been contacted re repair. |
| 101001665019 | Frontline - Complaint Against Staff | Upheld | Business Manager | Redress – Member of staff will receive informal counselling. |
| 101001666170 | Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance | Partially Upheld | Business Manager | Reimbursement - Undue delay in completing works. Tenant will receive £200 compensation regarding heating costs. Works orders to be checked. |
| 101001666828 | Frontline - Complaint Against Staff | Upheld | Heating & Electrical Manager | Reinforcement - Member of staff has been disciplined re driving behaviours. |
| 101001680695 | Frontline - Complaint Against Staff | Upheld | Business Manager | Reinforcement/Redress - Housing Council Vans were found to be parked blocking access to Complainant's address. Employees will be spoken to, to ensure this does not happen again. |
| 101001682385 | Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance | Partially Upheld | Asset Manager | Redress - Bath had chips on enamel and walls will require skimming. |
| 101001685343 | Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance | Upheld | Business Manager | Reinforcement - Driver of van has been spoken to re behaviour. |
| 101001685853 | Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance | Partially Upheld | DLO Manager | Redress - Steps have been taken to replace heating system. |
| 101001686166 | Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance | Partially Upheld | DLO Manager | Reimbursement - Tenant was left without heating for 10 days and will be compensated for heating with electric heaters. Follow-ons to be checked for completion. |
| 101001688241 | Frontline - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance | Partially Upheld | DLO Manager | Reimbursement/Redress -Tenant was not offered heaters on Friday evening. Reimbursement has been offered and apology given. |
| 101001554600 | Investigative - Other | Partially Upheld | Asset Manager | Redress - Outstanding repairs which should have been completed |
| 101001629061 | Investigative - Repairs/Capital/Planned | Upheld | Heating & Electrical Manager | Redress - Heating Unit has been repaired and new room stat will be fitted. Compensation for electrical costs will be investigated. |

| | maintenance | | | |
|--------------|---|------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 101001635490 | Investigative - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance | Upheld | Head of Housing and Property Services | Reimbursement / Redress - Heating system and flooring replaced. Reimbursement through insurance claim. |
| 101001649090 | Investigative - Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance | Partially Upheld | Asset Manager | Reimbursement - Length of time taken to resolve works in tenant's home was longer than wished. A compensation payment of £100 will be paid. |
| 101001662076 | Investigative - Complaint Against Staff | Partially Upheld | Supported Accommodation Manager | Revision / Redress - Staff reminded of what should and should not be recorded within care plan. |