Appendix C

STAG Appraisal Summary Tables (ASTs)

Elgin Bypass South (long) Route (Option E)					
	rity or organisation promoting the proposal: subsidiary organisations also involved in promoting the	Moray Council High Street Elgin Moray IV30 1BX			
Proposal Name: Elgin Bypass South Route (long)		Name of Planner:	The Moray Council		
Proposal Description:	This option implements a bypass to the south of Elgin with intersections at the A941 south of Elgin, the Edgar Road extension and the A96 east and west of Elgin.	Estimated Total Public Sector Funding Requirement:	Capital costs/grant £61.4m (2007 prices) inclusive of an inflation factor, and optimism bias and risk allowances which combined account for 47% of the estimated costs. Annual revenue support Present Value of Cost to Govt. £58.9m		
Funding Sought From: (if applicable) Background Information	Capital funds from the public and private sector, The Moray Council, HITRANS and Scottish Executive, and developer contributions.	Amount of Application:	To be confirmed.		
Geographic Context: Elgin is the principal administrative and commercial centre of Moray with the most recent figures (2001 Census) revealing a population of almost 21,000. The town has a wider catchment population of close to 100,000. It is evident that transport plays an important economic and social function within the context of Elgin. This option implements a bypass to the South of Elgin with intersections at the A941 South of Elgin, the Edgar Road extension and the A96 to the East and West of Elgin.					

Social Context:	This option will promote improved access to key employment sites in the centre of Elgin and at Edgar Rd, including the Edgar Road Retail Zone and Springfield Industrial Estate. It will also promote improved access to Barmuckity Farm, to the eastern edge of Elgin, which is the preferred location for a new Business Park. No Community Regeneration Area, or European Structural Fund areas will be affected by this option.
	The aim of this option is to impact positively on local transport conditions within Elgin. Elgin has two main access roads the A96 and A941 that allow travellers to move through and in and around the area. These connect surrounding communities to the centre of Elgin, to key employment sites and provide access to a range of public services and recreational opportunities.
	Elgin is the commercial centre of the wider Moray area providing a focus for employment and commercial activity. The service sector dominates the Moray economy with 75% of employees jobs in service sector occupations. Widespread deprivation is not a significant factor within Elgin, although at the small area level particularly at the datazone level a certain degree of social and economic weaknesses exist.
Economic Context:	The rate of unemployment in Elgin is marginally higher than the Moray average with figures from the Scottish Executive's February Economic Profile showing that two of the five wards within Moray with the highest rate of unemployment were located in Elgin (Cathedral 3.5%, New Elgin 2.9%). An analysis of travel to work patterns shows the dominance of the private car with 51% of households having access to at least one car. The average distance travelled to work in Elgin according to the Census of Population in 2001 was 14km.
	The development context within Elgin is largely positive with demand for housing relatively strong and commercial developments also positive. Key aims of the local plan include identifying sites for 950 houses for Elgin and to identify within Elgin sites for industrial/commercial development.

nance against planning objective:
Yes – model results show that this option will improve journey times and ease congestion within Elgin. Although the option will increase road-space in Elgin there will negligible impacts on safety and security. The option complements the wider development context in Elgin.
 Yes – model results shows improvements at key junctions when compared to the do-minimum scenario. Yes – model results show improvements to average vehicle speed. This will benefit all road-users. It is estimated that the impacts on accident levels will be neutral, despite increasing road-space within Elgin. No - The STAG Part 1 Appraisal recommended a parking strategy for Elgin be developed. No – This option will not impact directly on the provision of improved public transport services. Any modal shift impacts through reductions in congestion will be minor. Neutral – This option will improve traffic flow and reduce congestion in Elgin. Yes - This option complements existing land-use policies in Elgin and Moray.

Implementability A	ppraisal						
Technical:	As with all of the o	As with all of the options, there are no specific unusual technical aspects identified at this stage.					
Operational:	There are no facto	rs which might adversely affect the ability	to operate the proposal over its projected	l life without additional costs.			
Financial:	The estimated capit	The funding mechanism has not been completed as part of this STAG Part 2 Appraisal. The estimated capital cost of this option is \pounds 61.4m (2007 prices) inclusive of an inflation factor, and optimism bias and risk allowances which combined account for 47% of the estimated costs.					
Public:		Appraisal of this option follows on from a olders in Elgin and Moray.	a Pre-Appraisal and STAG Part 1 Apprais	al that encouraged a wide range of views			
Environment							
Mitigation Options Included: (Costs & Benefits)		An Environmental Report has been produced to accompany this STAG Part 2 report. The Environmental Report outlines a number of potential mitigation measures that could be implemented for this option. Mitigation measures will require further exploration as the route design develops.					
Sub-objective		Qualitative Information	Quantitative Information	Significance of Impact			
Noise and Vibration		4 properties on the eastern side of this bypass route would receive increases in noise level of 13.7dB. 19 properties on the southern side would receive increases in noise level of 13.1dB. 2 properties on the western side would receive increase in noise level of 14.1 dB. The information generated by a Stage 2 assessment is not sufficiently detailed to specify mitigation	Major Negative	Major Negative			

	measures. However, there are a number of measures available that could be considered as the route design develops. These measures would include: roadside noise barriers, low noise surfacing or speed restrictions.		
Air Quality - Overall	Air Quality standards in Elgin are much higher than the Scottish average. This option maintains the positive Air Quality levels within Elgin.	Neutral to Minor Negative	Neutral to Minor Negative
CO ₂ - Global	CO2 levels in Elgin are much lower than the national average. This option maintains the positive CO2 levels within Elgin.Neutral		Neutral
PM ₁₀ - Local	PM10 levels in Elgin compare favourably to national average rates. This option has a minor negative impact on PM10 levels within Elgin.	Minor Negative	Minor Negative
NO2 - Local	NO2 levels in Elgin compare favourably to national average rates. This option has a minor negative impact on NO2 levels within Elgin.	Minor Negative	Minor Negative
Water Quality, Drainage and Flood Defence	This option will cross the River Lossie, Mosstowie Canal and the Burn of Linkwood. Over 3km of the new link will be constructed within the floodplain, 8.5km of new highway will be required to be drained.	Moderate Negative	Moderate Negative

Geology	Little or no impact.	Neutral to minor negative	Neutral to minor negative
	Mayne Wood and Quarry Wood suffer	Moderate Negative	Moderate Negative.
	direct impacts from this option.		
Biodiversity	Following mitigation measures there		
	are likely to be moderate negative		
	impacts.		
	Sensitive visual receptors in the	Moderate Negative	Moderate Negative
	vicinity of this option include:		
	Aldroughty Cottages, Aldroughty		
	Farm, Pittendreich Mill, Easter		
	Pittendreich properties, Saint Mary's,		
	Mayne Farm, Mayne House, Mayne		
	Gardeners Cottage, Hardhillock		
	Avenue properties, Glassgreen Farm		
Visual Amenity	and Cottages, Burnside Cottage,		
	Barmuckity Farm and Cottages, Oak		
	Wood trails, River Lossie, Mayne		
	Wood trails, Elgin Golf Course, Right		
	of way near A941, Burn of Linkwood		
	path, Pittendreich Bridge (listed)		
	Pittendreich Dovecot (listed)		
	Aldroughty House (listed)		
	A large amount of land in an area of	Moderate Negative	Moderate Negative.
	potentially nationally sensitive		
Agriculture and Soils	agricultural land it is likely that this		
	area will produce, following mitigation,		
	moderate negative impacts.		
Cultural Heritage	Little impact	Minor Negative	Minor Negative

Landscape	7 river crossings, 2 railway crossings,	Moderate Negative	Moderate Negative
	8550m of new highway.		

Safety	Safety					
Sub-objective	Item	Qualitative Information	Quantitative Information			
Accidents	Change in Annual Personal Injury Accidents	The estimated change in vehicle kilometres of vehicles on the highway network was calculated to be less than 2% when this scheme option was modelled together against the do-minimum scenario. Therefore, the change in the occurrence of accidents/personal injury accidents was calculated to be negligible.	Not applicable			
	Change in Balance of Severity Total Discounted Savings	Not applicable Not applicable	Not applicable £0			
Security		This option will not impact directly on improving public transport services. There will therefore be minimal impact on the security of users of public transport. Improving the road network introduces improved materials, signage and lighting which can produce positive security impacts.	Not applicable			

Economy (Transpor	t Econ	omic Efficiency) (2002 dis	count	ed prices)			
Sub-objective	Iter	n	Qua	alitative Information			Quantitative Information
User Benefits	vel Time	deli	ourney time savings resulting from the introduction of this option are delivered to consumers and business sector. (Consumer £17.4m, Business (11.8m)		£29.2m		
	Use	r Charges	The	ere will be no impact on user charges.			£0
	Veh	icle Operating Costs		change in vehicle operating costs will largely be icle speed. (Consumers \pounds 1.2m, Business \pounds 0.4m)	derived from cl	nanges to	£1.6m
	Qua	lity / Reliability Benefits	Thi	s option will improve journey time reliability.			N/A
Carbon Benefits This option will produce marginal carbon benefits.				£0.14m			
Private Sector	Inve	estment Costs	This option will not impact on private sector investment costs.		£0		
Operator Impacts	Ope Cos	erating & Maintenance ts	Thi	'his option will have a marginal impact on operating and maintenance costs.		-£0.22m	
	Rev	enues	Thi	This option will not impact on private sector revenues,		£0	
	Gra	nt/Subsidy payments	Thi	This option will be part funded by developer contributions.		-£0.96m	
Economy (Economi	c Activ	ity and Location Impacts)				
Sub-objective		Item		Qualitative Information		Quantitativ	e Information
Economic Activity and L Location Impacts		Local Economic Impacts		Not applicable		Not applica	able
		National Economic Impac	ts	Not applicable		Not applicable	
		Distributional Impacts		Not applicable		Not applicable	

Integration				
Sub-objective	Item	Qualitative Information	Quantitative Information	
Transport Interchanges Services & Ticketing This option will not impact directly on improtor transport services.		This option will not impact directly on improved public transport services.	Not applicable.	
	Infrastructure & Information	This option promotes improved journey times for all vehicles (public and private) moving through and around the Elgin	Not applicable.	
		area.		
Land-use Transport Integration		This option complements land-use policies in Moray and	Not applicable.	
1 0		Elgin. This includes the Moray Structure Plan and the current		
		and emerging Moray Local Plan.		
Policy Integration		This option is consistent with the Moray Local Transport	Not applicable.	
Toney Integration		Strategy (2001) and the HITRANS Regional Transport	* *	
		Strategy. This option contributes to, and is consistent with, a		
		range of government policies, including the 2004 Transport		
		White Paper and the recently published National Transport		
		Strategy.		

Accessibility & Soc	Accessibility & Social Inclusion					
Sub-objective	Item	Qualitative Information	Quantitative Information			
Community Accessibility	Public Transport Network Coverage	This option will not directly impact on public transport network coverage. The main beneficiaries will be car owners. However, those travelling by public transport, in the main by local buses (326, 327, 328, 329, 331 and 336) will also experience improved accessibility as traffic flow improves and congestion eases. The establishment of new road space will also provide opportunities to review existing bus routes and promote new bus services. The journey time savings are outlined in the Economy section of this report.	Not applicable.			
	Access to Other Local Services	This option will promote improved access to the centre of Elgin, which is the commercial focus for Elgin and the Moray Area. This will improve access to key employment sites and a range of community services.	Not applicable.			
Comparative Accessibility	Distribution/Spatial Impacts by Social Group	Levels of social exclusion in Elgin are relatively low. As the main beneficiaries will be existing car owners it is likely that any social inclusion impacts will be modest.	Not applicable.			

Distribution/Spatial Impacts by Area	This option will promote improved access within and through Elgin. Improvements to the local transport network are viewed as vital for the future economic development of Elgin and the wider Moray area. A number of wards and datazones within Elgin exhibit relative measures of geographic and employment	Not applicable.
	relative measures of geographic and employment deprivation.	

Cost to Public Sector (2002 discounted prices)		
Item	Qualitative information	Quantitative information
Public Sector Investment Costs	£61.4m (2007 prices) inclusive of optimism bias	£57.4m (present value)
Public Sector Operating & Maintenance Costs	This option will impact on operating and maintenance costs	£1.4m
Grant/Subsidy Payments	Developer contributions will part finance this option.	-£0.96m
Revenues	There will be no impact on revenues	£0
Taxation impacts	Loss of fuel duty	£1.03m
Monetised Summary		
Present Value of Transport Benefits	£29.7m	
Present Value of Cost to Government	£58.9m	
Net Present Value	-£29.2m	
Benefit-Cost to Government Ratio	0.50:1	