# **REPORT OF HANDLING**

Ref No:	09/01714/PPP	Officer:	Craig Wilson	
Proposal Description/ Address	Planning Permission in Principle for 5no plots in paddock at Newton House Elgir Moray IV30 8XW			
Date:	04.11.2009	Typist Initials:	JC	

RECOMMENDATION		
Approve, without or with condition(s) listed below		N
Refuse, subject to reason(s) listed below		Y
Legal Agreement required e.g. S,75		Ν
Notification to Scottish Ministers/Historic Scotland		
Departure		
Hearing requirements	Pre-determination	

CONSULTATIONS				
Consultee	Date Returned	Summary of Response		
Contaminated Land	06/10/09	No objection		
Environmental Health Manager	05/10/09	No objection		
Transportation Manager	21/10/09	No objection subject to condition		
Scottish Water	07/10/09	No objection		
Environmental Protection Manager				
BEAR Scotland Limited	14/10/09	No objection		
National Roads Directorate	14/10/09	No objection		
Historic Scotland	09/10/09	No objection		

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICY			
Policies	Dep	Any Comments (or refer to Observations below)	
Policy 2(a) & Policy 2(b)	Y		
IMP1: Development Requirements	Y		
H8: New Housing in Open Countryside	Y		
T2: Provision of Road Access			
T5: Parking Standards			
ER2: Energy Reduction Req in New Dev	Y		
EP5: SUDS	Y		
EP9: Contaminated Land			

#### REPRESENTATIONS

Representations Received

Total number of representations received

Names/Addresses of parties submitting representations

Name	Address
James Scott	Glenericht 137 Morriston Road Elgin
	IV30 4NB
Mrs E A Petrie	Rosehaugh Farm Elgin
	Moray
	IV30 8XW

Summary and Assessment of main issues raised by representations

Issue:

- 5 houses crammed into the paddock along with the 3 that have already been given planning consent, are just too many and will create a settlement.
- With a settlement comes the tarmac roads, street lighting on all night, more people and cars, and suddenly the area is no longer urban, but suburban.
- Over the past year we have watched the decimation of shrubs, trees, and a wall, all visible from the road. We cannot understand how they have been allowed to continue this carnage.
   Comments (PO):
- Concerns surrounding the impact on the surrounding area have been discussed in the observation section below.
- The applicant has consent in place to re-route the wall and gate pillars to Newton House. Consent is also in place to improve the access into the site and as part of that consent a number of trees are required to be removed.

## **OBSERVATIONS – ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSAL**

Introduction:

This is a repeat application of previously submitted and refused application to erect 5 houses within the Paddock adjacent to Newton House, application 08/01388/OUT refers. No changes are proposed in this application.

The Proposal

- Erect 5No. dwelling houses on site known as the paddock adjacent to Newton House.
- Individual houses will have own parking provision
- Effect connection to main sewer and water supply
- Access to site taken off main B9013 Burghead Road running past the site.

#### The Site

- Large agricultural field located close to Newton House, Newton approx. 4miles outside Elgin
- Site is approx 8350sqm in area and irregular in shape.
- Site is bounded by trees and the trunk road to the south and west edges. By the main B9013 Burghead Road to the east and by the extensive garden grounds of Newton House to the North.

#### History

In 1993 approval was granted to erect 5 dwelling houses on this site, application 93/00958/FUL

YES

refers. For reasons known only to the applicant, no works commenced and the consent lapsed. Subsequent development plan policies did not support the proposed development, as is the case this time round.

#### Observation

The main determining issues are:

# Impact of development on the open countryside and nearby listed building (Policy 1(e), H8, IMP1 & BE2)

The proposed development to erect 5no. dwellinghouses on site known as the paddock adjacent to Newton House is considered to be a departure from the above development plan policies.

Policy H8 sets a presumption against multiple house applications (more than 2) on the basis that these are more appropriately directed to existing rural communities and applied to the re-use and replacement of existing buildings. This avoids unplanned residential build-up within the open countryside, in particular suburban style or ribbon development. This site is not located within a rural community and does not involve the re-use or replacement of existing buildings.

The aim of the policy is to avoid a build up of development that would be more appropriately be directed to settlements. An upper limit is set, in this instance 2 houses, at which point more housing typically begins to resemble an urban type cluster, whatever its integration with the surrounding landscape. In this instance, due to the number of proposed residential unit's (5) the proposal is judged to create an uncharacteristic build-up of suburban style residential properties in this rural landscape which would be detrimental to the open character of the area. If approved, a dangerous precedent would be set and it would be difficult to defend against further similar applications submitted to this authority.

In terms of the prominence of the development, it is accepted that at least 50% of the site boundaries are long established and that a backdrop of mature trees exists behind the development but the sites proximity to the road, and located within the middle of an open paddock, makes the proposed development overtly prominent to passers by. In this regard, a reduced scheme with fewer units would still have a detrimental visual impact on the surrounding landscape.

The agent has stated that this development is to be used as an enabling development to fund the renovation of nearby Newton House. However, the separation distance between the two is such that this is a standalone development and should be assessed as such. It is because of the separation distance that views of the Listed Building are not affected by this development. The proposal will not impact on the setting of Newton House.

Although suburban in appearance, the design of the individual dwelling houses complies with Council policy relating to housing in the countryside.

#### Impact on existing Infrastructure (T1& T2)

The Transportation Manager is satisfied that the proposal complies with Council policy relating to safe and suitable access. Conditions relating to the access have been suggested.

No other consultees have objected to the proposed development

Application recommended for refusal.

### OTHER MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT

HISTORY Reference No.	Description	<u> </u>				
Reference No.	Description					
	Outline for 5no plots in paddock at Newton House Elgin Moray IV30 8XW					
08/01388/OUT	Decision	Refuse				
	Decision	Reluse	Date Of Decision	13/05/09		
		of house to form	5no flats at Newton H	ouse Elgin Moray IV3		
	8XW					
08/01414/FUL	Decision	Permitted				
			Date Of Decision	24/09/09		
	Listed Build	ling consent to ere	ect 2no dwellinghouses	in the walled garden a		
		use Elgin Moray IV		in the Maliou galacity		
00/04 440/1 DO		<u> </u>				
08/01413/LBC	Decision	Permitted	Date Of Decision	30/07/09		
	Erection of	2no dwellinghouse	es in the walled garden	at Newton House Elgi		
	Moray IV30	8XW				
08/01387/FUL	Decision	Permitted				
	Decision		Date Of Decision	30/07/09		
		tio operation for tor				
			mporary (2 years) use a	at Newton House Eigi		
	Moray IV30 8XW					
07/01641/LBC	Decision	Permitted		40/00/07		
			Date Of Decision	10/09/07		
	Erect a sta	tic caravan for ter	mporary (2 years) use a	at Newton House Elai		
	Erect a static caravan for temporary (2 years) use at Newton House Elgin Moray IV30 8XW					
07/01640/FUL		1		1		
07/01040/FUL	Decision	Permitted	Date Of Decision	10/09/07		
		inghouse at the fo	rmer dairy at Newton H	louse Elgin Moray IV3		
	8XW					
94/00490/FUL	Decision	Permitted				
			Date Of Decision	04/07/94		
	Extend and	alter existing dwel	linghouse at			
		use Elgin Moray I	•			
94/00430/FUL	Decision	Permitted	Date Of Decision	08/07/94		
				00/07/94		
	Conversion	of steading to form	n dwellinghouse at New	ton House Elgin Mora		
	IV30 8XW					
94/00019/FUL	Decision	Permitted				
	Decision	Fermilieu	Date Of Decision	09/03/94		
			nvert Newton House to t			
	erect two dwellinghouses and garages within grounds of Newton House Elgin Moray IV30 8XW					
93/01122/LBC	Decision	Permitted				
	Decision	Fernilleu	Date Of Decision	20/05/96		
			er houses and erect tw	5		
93/01121/FUL	arages wit	nin grounds of Nev	vton House Elgin Moray	1V30 8XW		

	Decision	Permitted	Date Of Decision	20/05/96
00/00050/51/1	dwellinghou	5		of steading to form
93/00958/FUL	Decision	Permitted	Date Of Decision	02/11/93

ADVERT		
Advert Fee paid?	Yes	
Local Newspaper	Reason for Advert	Date of expiry
Northern Scot	No Premises	29/10/09
Northern Scot	Departure from development plan	29/10/09

<b>DOCUMENTS, ASSESSMENTS etc. *</b> * Includes Environmental Statement, Appropriate Assessment, Design Statement, Design and Access Statement, RIA, TA, NIA, FRA etc				
Supporting informa	tion submitted with application?	YES		
Summary of main is	ssues raised in each statement/assessment/report	<u></u>		
Document Name: Main Issues:	<ul> <li>Planning and Design Statement</li> <li>Tree Management Plan</li> <li>The Planning and Design statement was submitted with previdentifies why the 5 houses should be considered as an ena fund the redevelopment of Newton House.</li> </ul>			
	The Tree Management Plan was again submitted with previous identified how the may trees within the grounds of Newton H managed.			

S.75 AGREEMENT	
Application subject to S.75 Agreement	NO
Summary of terms of agreement:	 
Location where terms or summary of terms can be inspected:	

.

DIRECTION(S) MADE BY SCOTTISH MINISTERS (under DMR2008 Regs)				
Section 30	Relating to EIA	NO		
Section 31	Requiring planning authority to provide information and restrict grant of planning permission	NO		
Section 32	Requiring planning authority to consider the imposition of planning conditions	NO		
Summary of Direction(s)				