Core Fact Sheet (Swimming Pools 48324) 2009

Rank	SWIMMING POOLS	GIA (m²)	Roofs (15%)	Floors & Stairs (5%)	Ceilings (2%)	Ext. Walls, Windows & Doors (20%)	Int. Walls & Doors (2%)	Sanitary Services (3%)	Mechanical (19%)	Electrical (14%)	Ext. Decoration (9%)	Fixed Int. Facilities, Furniture & Fittings (2%)	External Areas (8%)	Outdoor Sports Facilities & Permanent Fixed Furniture (1%)	Overall Score	Condition Category	Urgent	Years 1-2	Years 3-5	Backlog Maintenance Total
1	KEITH SWIMMING POOL	988	В	В	В	В	В	В	С	С	В	В	В	В	66.75	В	£65,850	£ 5,790	£ 88,725	£160,365
2	FORRES SWIMMING POOL	701	В	В	В	В	В	В	D	С	В	В	В	В	61.75	В	£269,754		£16,851	£292,105
3	SPEYSIDE SWIMMING POOL	390	В	В	В	В	В	В	D	D	В	В	В	N/A	58.50	С	£0		£125,100	£133,900
4	BUCKIE SWIMMING POOL	1,103	В	В	В	В	В	В	D	С	В	В	С	В	61.25	В	£159,969	£47,960	£81,050	£288,979
5	LOSSIEMOUTH SWIMMING POOL	583	С	В	В	В	В	В	D	D	С	В	В	В	52.50	С	£11,940	£12,050	£70,350	£94,340
	Note:																			
	The summarised expenditure for the swimming	pools h	as to be	read ir	n conju	iction v	with th	e sch	ool su	veys a	as ser	vices	are in	terlinke	ed in most c	ases,	especially Lossi	iemouth & Spey	side	
			H																	
														+						
																-				
														\dagger						
			cat	value											score	oot	Definition			

_catv	value	score	cat.	Definition
Α	1	>85	Α	Good - Performing well and operating efficiently
B (0.75	61-85	В	Satisfactory - Performing adequately but showing minor deterioration
C	0.50	40-60	С	Poor - showing major defects and/or not operating adequately
D (0.25	<40	D	Bad - Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure