CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S OFFICE

QUARTER 1 April – June 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears March 2014 – May 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Social Work Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and In	vestigative \$	Stages as a pe	rcentage of a	II complaints
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
Quarter 1	3			3 (100%)
Quarter 4	2			2 (100%)
Quarter 3	0			
Quarter 2	2			2 (100%)
Quarter 1	6	2 (33%)		4 (67%)

Comment: 3 investigative complaints were received during Quarter 1 of 2014-15 which is half the number received at the same point last year.

Notes: Excluded MP/MSP and out with the complaints procedure

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially complaints closed in ful			ercentage of
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
Quarter 1			
Quarter 4			
Quarter 3			
Quarter 2			
Quarter 1			1 (50%)
Comment:			

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Number of Escalated Complaints complai	upheld / partially upheld / no		ercentage of
•	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
Quarter 1			
Quarter 4			
Quarter 3			
Quarter 2			
Quarter 1			
Comment:		•	

-	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)
	Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld
Quarter 1		2 (67%)	1 (33%)
Quarter 4			2 (100%)
Quarter 3			
Quarter 2			2 (100%)
Quarter 1	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	2 (50%)
Comment:		_	

Indicator – Average Times

	g days for a full response to cor No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative
Quarter 1			36 days
Quarter 4			14 days
Quarter 3			
Quarter 2			13 days
Quarter 1	2 day		26 days
Comment:			
Notes:			

<u>Indicator – Performance against Timescales</u>

	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
Quarter 1			1 (33%)
Quarter 4			2 (100%)
Quarter 3			
Quarter 2			1 (50%)
Quarter 1	2 (100%)		2 (50%)

<u>Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised			
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
Quarter 1		1 (50%)	
Quarter 4			
Quarter 3			
Quarter 2		0 (0%)	
Quarter 1		2 (100%)	

Comment: 2 complaints were out with timescales and only 1 had an extension request.

Notes: Calculation based on number of complaints out with target timescale and of those how many where there was a holding letter sent.

Indicator - Learning from complaints

Outline change	•	ents to services of deration of comp	or procedures as a result of the plaints
Type of Complaint			Action taken

COMMUNITY CARE

QUARTER 1 April to June 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears March 2014 - May 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed as a percen		nd Investigati [,] mplaints close	_	
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
Quarter 1 14/15	4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)
Quarter 4 13/14	4	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	3 (60%)
Quarter 3 13/14	5	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	7 (78%)
Quarter 2 13/14	5	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)	5 (62%)

Comment:

In quarter 1, 4 Investigative complaints were received.

Notes: There were 7 additional MSP/MP enquiries, and 2 informal enquiries.

<u>Indicator – Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld</u>

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
		No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
Quarter 1 14/15	0	0 (0%)	0 (N/A%)	0 (0%)
Quarter 4 13/14	2	1 (50%)	0 (N/A%)	1 (50%)
Quarter 3 13/14	2	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)
Quarter 2 13/14	N/A	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Number of Escalated Compla	aints upheld / partially nplaints closed in full			ercentage of
	•	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
Quarter 1 14/15	N/A	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)
Quarter 4 13/14	N/A	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)
Quarter 3 13/14	N/A	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)
Quarter 2 13/14	N/A	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)
Comment:				

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage
of complaints closed in full at each stage

or complaints closed in full at each stage				
		No (%)	No (%)	No (%)
		Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld
Quarter 1 14/15	3	1 (33%)	0 (N/A%)	2 (67%)
Quarter 4 13/14	3	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (N/A%)
Quarter 3 13/14	7	0 (N/A%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)
Quarter 2 13/14	5	3 (60%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Indicator – Average Times

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage					
	No of days No of days No of Frontline Escalated Invest				
Quarter 1 14/15	N/A	N/A	29 days		
Quarter 4 13/14	2	N/A	19 days		
Quarter 3 13/14	3	N/A	24 days		
Quarter 2 13/14	N/A	N/A	22 days		

Comment:

In quarter 1, 3 investigative complaint stages were fully responded to.

Notes: Calculation based on not counting date of receipt but counting actual response date.

<u>Indicator – Performance against Timescales</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the					
set timescales of 5 a	set timescales of 5 and 20 working days				
	No (%) No (%) No (%)				
	Frontline (5 days)	Escalated (20 days)	Investigative (20 days)		
Quarter 1 14/15	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)	2 (66%)		
Quarter 4 13/14	2 (100%)	0 (N/A%)	3 (100%)		
Quarter 3 13/14	2 (100%)	0 (N/A%)	2 (29%)		
Quarter 2 13/14	0 (N/A%)	0 (N/A%)	2 (40%)		
Comment:					
In quarter 1, 1 complaint was responded to out w	ith the target timeso	ale.			

Indicator - number of cases where an extension is authorised

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised			
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
Quarter 1 14/15	0 (N/A)	0 (N/A)	
Quarter 4 13/14	0 (N/A)	0 (N/A)	
Quarter 3 13/14	0 (N/A)	4 (80%)	
Quarter 2 13/14	0 (N/A)	3 (100%)	
Comment:		•	

Notes: Calculation based on number of complaints out with target timescale and of those how many where there was a holding letter sent.

Indicator - Learning from complaints

Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints				
Type of Complaint Outcome Responsible Action taken Officer				
Investigative Complaint – Process/Procedure (Stage 1 & 2)	Upheld	Community Care – Service Manager	Reinforcement: Ensure that a full and up to date assessment is carried out on transfer of care.	

CORPORATE SERVICES

QUARTER 1 April – June 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears March 2014 – May 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Social Work Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator - Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints					
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative	
Quarter 1	19	18 (95%)		1 (5%)	
Quarter 4	9	8 (89%)		1 (11%)	
Quarter 3	10	7 (70%)	1 (10%)	2 (30%)	
Quarter 2	14	12 (86%)		2 (14%)	
Quarter 1	32	29 (91%)		3 (9%)	

Comment: There were twice as many complaints received in Quarter 1 compared to the previous quarter but is almost half the number received in the same period last year.

Notes: Excluded MP/MSP and out with the complaints procedure

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage					
No (%) No (%) No (%) No (%) No (%) No Upheld Part Upheld Not Uphel					
Quarter 1	5 (28%)	1 (5%)	12 (67%)		
Quarter 4	2 (25%)		6 (75%)		
Quarter 3	4 (57%)		3 (43%)		
Quarter 2	5 (42%)	2 (16%)	5 (42%)		
Quarter 1	14 (48%)	4 (14%)	11 (38%)		

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

comp	complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld		
Quarter 1					
Quarter 4					
Quarter 3			1 (100%)		
Quarter 2			,		
Quarter 1					
Comment:					

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)	

	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
Quarter 1	-		1 (100%)
Quarter 4		1 (100%)	
Quarter 3			2 (100%)
Quarter 2		1 (50%)	1 (50%)
Quarter 1		1 (33%)	2 (67%)

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

<u>Indicator – Average Times</u>

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage				
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative	
Quarter 1	4 days		9 days	
Quarter 4	7 days		22 days	
Quarter 3	4 days	15 days	9 days	
Quarter 2	4 days		13 days	
Quarter 1	3 days		9 days	

Comment: Response times have improved considerably from the previous quarter and are back in line with normal response times.

Note: figure is number of days complaints answered within, day complaint received is day 1

<u>Indicator – Performance against Timescales</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days				
No (%) No (%) No Frontline Escalated Inves (5 days) (20 days) (20				
Quarter 1	18 (100%)		1 (100%)	
Quarter 4	4 (50%)		0 (0%)	
Quarter 3	7 (100%)		3 (100%)	
Quarter 2	11(92%)		2 (100%)	
Quarter 1	27 (93%)		3 (100%)	

Comment: In quarter 1, all complaints received were responded to within target timescales.

Indicator - number of cases where an extension is authorised

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised			
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
Quarter 1			
Quarter 4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Quarter 3			
Quarter 2	0 (0%)		
Quarter 1	0 (0%)		

Comment: No complaints were closed out with timescales.

Notes: Calculation based on number of complaints out with target timescale and of those how many where there was a holding letter sent.

Indicator – Learning from complaints

Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the					
consideration of complaints					
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken		
Frontline	Upheld	Appeals and Recovery Officer	Staff reminded of the importance of data input accuracy at staff meeting.		
Frontline	Upheld	Appeals and Recovery Officer	Revenues Management aware of pressure on Visiting Team and have reallocated resources where necessary and continue to monitor progress.		
Frontline	Upheld	Appeals and Recovery Officer	Each team contacted to alert them to the possibility of a similar error where a correspondence address is in use.		
Frontline	Upheld	Taxation Officer	Reminder to staff regarding evidence required for second home property.		

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

QUARTER 1 April – June 2014

Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears (March 2014 – May 2014)

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints				
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
2014/15 Quarter 1	14	5(36%)	0	9(64%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	4	2(50%)	0	2(50%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	8	2(25%)	6(75%)	0
2013/14 Quarter 2	8	5(62%)	0	3(37%)
2013/14 Quarter 1	17	6 (35%)	0	11 (65%)
Comment:				

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2014/15 Quarter 1	1(20%)	0	4(80%
2013/14 Quarter 4	0	1(50%)	1(50%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	1(50%)	0	1(50%)
2013/14 Quarter 2	0	2(40%)	3(60%)
2013/14 Quarter 1	0	3 (50%)	3 (50%)

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
-	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
2014/15 Quarter 1	n/a	n/a	n/a	
2013/14 Quarter 4	n/a	n/a	n/a	
2013/14 Quarter 3	0	1(17%)	5(83%)	
2013/14 Quarter 2	n/a	n/a	n/a	
2013/14 Quarter 1	0	0	1(100%)	
Comment:				

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
2014/15 Quarter 1	0	1(11%)	8(88%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	0	0	2(100%)	
2013/14 Quarter 3	0	0	0	
2013/14 Quarter 2	0	0	3(100%)	
2013/14 Quarter 1	0	2 (18%)	9 (82%)	

<u>Indicator – Average Times</u>

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage				
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative	
2014/15 Quarter 1	2.8	n/a	14.0	
2013/14 Quarter 4	4.5	n/a	14.5	
2013/14 Quarter 3	2.5	19.3	n/a	
2013/14 Quarter 2	2.2	n/a	17.3	
2013/14 Quarter 1	5.8	n/a	17.8	
Comment:				

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2014/15 Quarter 1	5(100%)	n/a	9(100%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	2 (100%)	n/a	2 (100%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	2(100%)	5(83%)	n/a
2013/14 Quarter 2	5(100%)	n/a	3(100%
2013/14 Quarter 1	5 (83%)	n/a	10 (91%)

<u>Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised</u>

	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2014/15 Quarter 1	0	0
2013/14 Quarter 4	0	0
2013/14 Quarter 3	0	0
2013/14 Quarter 2	0	0
2013/14 Quarter 1	0	1 (100%)

Indicator – Learning from complaints

Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints				
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken	
ID 6912 Complaint against staff	Part-upheld	Environmental Health Manager	Officers are to show authorisation as a matter of routine where they are not known to the customer.	

DIRECT SERVICES

QUARTER 1 April – June 2014

Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears (March 2014 – May 2014)

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints				
•	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
2014/15 Quarter 1	49	44 (90%)	4 (8%)	1 (2%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	25	23 (92%)	2 (8%)	0
2013/14 Quarter 3	26	21 (81%)	4 (15%)	1 (4%)
2013/14 Quarter 2	25	23 (92%)	0	2 (8%)
Comment:		·		·

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
2014/15 Quarter 1	4 (9%)	2 (4%)	38 (86%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	1 (4%)	, O	22 (96%)	
2013/14 Quarter 3	3(14%)	1 (5%)	16 (76%)	
2013/14 Quarter 2	3 (13%)	0	20 (87%)	
Comment:				

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
2014/15 Quarter 1	0	0	4 (100%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
2013/14 Quarter 3	0	0	4 (100%)	
2013/14 Quarter 2	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Comment:				

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentag of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
2014/15 Quarter 1	0	0	1 (100%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	0	0	0	
2013/14 Quarter 3	0	0	1 (100%)	
2013/14 Quarter 2	0	0	2 (100%)	
Comment:				

Indicator - Average Times

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage				
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative	
2014/15 Quarter 1	2.8	16.2	19.0	
2013/14 Quarter 4	3.0	11.5	0	
2013/14 Quarter 3	4.4	19	26	
2013/14 Quarter 2	3.2	n/a	20	
Comment:				

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

Number and percentage of complaints at each st set timescales of 5 and 20 working days	age which were	e closed in ful	I within the
	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2014/15 Quarter 1	42 (95%)	3 (75%)	1 (100%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	21 (91%)	2 (100%)	n/a
2013/14 Quarter 3	11 (52%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)
2013/14 Quarter 2	16 (69%)	n/a	1(50%)

Comment:

Two frontline complaints (IDs 6987, and 6993) were 1 day late due to workload. One escalated investigative complaint (ID 6697) was 3 days late because of a combination of annual leave and the need to obtain further information.

Indicator - number of cases where an extension is authorised

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised				
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)		
2014/15 Quarter 1	0	0		
2013/14 Quarter 4	0	0		
2013/14 Quarter 3	0	1 (20%)		
2013/14 Quarter 2	0	0		
Comment:				

Indicator – Learning from complaints

Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints					
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken		
ID 6759 Disabled Parking	Upheld	Senior Engineer Traffic	Complaints to the section now go to more than one email address from the Contact Centre.		
ID 6762 Roads Maintenance	Part- Upheld	Roads Maintenance Manager	Staff were reminded about erecting and removing signage at the appropriate time.		

Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken
ID 6878 Household	Upheld	Cleansing	Staff were reminded that political
Collections		Officer	stickers should not be displayed on
			council vehicles.

EDUCATION & SOCIAL CARE

(Schools & Curriculum Development and Lifelong Learning, Culture and Sport)

QUARTER 1 April 2014 – June 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears March 2014 – May 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints				
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
Quarter 1	15	9 (60%)	-	6 (40%)
Quarter 4	15	6 (40%)	-	9 (60%)
Quarter 3	20	5 (25%)	-	14 (70%)
Quarter 2	19	3 (30%)	-	7 (70%)
Quarter 1	19	4 (21%)	-	15 (79%)

Comment:

In quarter 1, a total of fifteen complaints were received and closed within the period, nine at the frontline and six at the investigative stage.

Notes: Excluded MP/MSP and out with the complaints procedure

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of					
complai	complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld		
Quarter 1	1 (11%)	1 (11%)	7 (78%)		
Quarter 4	-	1 (17%)	5 (83%)		
Quarter 3	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)		
Quarter 2	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)		
Quarter 1	-	2 (50%)	2 (50%)		
Comment:	•				

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of						
comp	complaints closed in full at each stage					
No (%) No (%) No (%)						
		Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld		
Quarter 1	N/A					
Quarter 4	N/A					
Quarter 3	N/A					
Quarter 2	N/A					
Quarter 1	N/A					

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage

of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
Quarter 1	2 (33%)	1	4 (67%)	
Quarter 4	6 (67%)	1 (11%)	2 (22%)	
Quarter 3	5 (36%)	7 (50%)	2 (14%)	
Quarter 2	1 (14%)	4 (57%)	2 (29%)	
Quarter 1	2 (13%)	1 (7%)	12 (80%)	

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Indicator – Average Times

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage				
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative	
Quarter 1	2.3	-	15.7	
Quarter 4	2	-	22.6	
Quarter 3	0.6	-	14.4	
Quarter 2	4.3	-	8.3	
Quarter 1	3	-	10	

Comment:

The 9 complaints resolved at the frontline were responded to in a total of 21 days, an average of 2.3 days per complaint. None exceeded the 5 day response timescale.

The 6 investigative complaints were responded to in a total of 94 days, an average of 15.7 days per complaint. Two complaints exceeded the 20 day timescale, one of which had an extension agreed due to the school holidays.

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

set timescales of 5 and 20 working days					
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Escalated	No (%) Investigative		
	(5 days)	(20 days)	(20 days)		
Quarter 1	9 (100%)	-	4 (67%)		
Quarter 4	6 (100%)	-	5 (56%)		
Quarter 3	5 (100%)	-	13 (93%)		
Quarter 2	2 (67%)	-	7 (100%)		
Quarter 1	4 (100%)	-	15 (100%)		

<u>Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised			
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
Quarter 1	-	2 (33%)	
Quarter 4	-	1 (25%)	
Quarter 3	-	1 (50%)	
Quarter 2 N/A	-	-	
Quarter 1 N/A	-	-	

Comment:

In Quarter 1, two investigative complaints had agreed extensions due to the Easter break – both were responded to within the revised timescale.

Notes: Calculation based on number of complaints out with target timescale and of those how many where there was a holding letter sent.

Indicator – Learning from complaints (Quarter 1)

Outlin	Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints					
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken			
Process / Procedure	Upheld	Quality Improvement Officer	Redress – Acknowledged staffing problem at the school, efforts continued to address the situation.			
Other	Upheld	Depute Head Teacher	Redress - Acknowledged possible inconvenience with amended school bus service, additional school bus put on for the day in question.			
Other	Part upheld	Head Teacher	Reinforcement – School acknowledged complaint of littering problem in Fochabers, pupils reminded of civic responsibilities and prefects will continue to monitor pupil behaviour at lunchtimes.			
Complaint about Staff	Upheld	Quality Improvement Officer	Reinforcement – Member of staff reminded of professional standards required at all times.			

INTEGRATED CHILDREN SERVICES

QUARTER 1 April 2014 – June 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears March 2014 – May 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints						
Total No (%) No (%) No (%) Received Closed Closed Closed Frontline Escalated Investigative						
Quarter 1	9	-	-	9 (100%)		
Comment:						
In quarter 1, nine stage one complaints	In quarter 1, nine stage one complaints were received all of which were closed at that stage.					

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage					
	No (%) No (%) No (%)				
	Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld		
Quarter 1 N/A	-	-	-		
Comment:					

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage					
No (%) No (%) No (%) Upheld Part Upheld Not Upheld					
Quarter 1	N/A	-	-	-	
Comment:					

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage						
of complaints closed in full at each stage						
No (%) No (%)						
	Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld			
Quarter 1	7 (78%)	1 (11%)	1 (11%)			
Comment:						
Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage	of complaints	closed				

Indicator – Average Times

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage					
No of days No of days No of days Frontline Escalated Investigati					
Quarter 1	-	-	23		
Comment:					
In quarter 1, nine investigative complaints were fully re	esponded to in	a total of 211 d	days; an		

average of 23 days per complaint. Three complaints took over 30 days for the final response due to the complexity of the investigations (complainants informed and accepted extensions).

<u>Indicator – Performance against Timescales</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the				
set timescales of 5 and 20 working days				
	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)	
	Frontline	Escalated	Investigative	
	(5 days)	(20 days)	(20 days)	
Quarter 1	-	-	5 (56%)	

Comment:

In quarter 1, four complaints missed response timescale targets – three had agreed extensions while the other one was 2 days late.

<u>Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised			
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative	
Quarter 1	-	3 (33%)	

Comment:

In quarter 1, three complaints received authorised extensions due to the complex nature of the complaints.

Indicator – Learning from complaints (Quarter 1)

Outline	Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints				
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken		
Complaint against staff	Part upheld	Head of Integrated Children's Services	Reinforcement of need to follow existing protocol in a timely fashion		
Other	Upheld	Corporate Parenting & Commissioning Manager	Review of processes: - Timescale to be advised at start - Lines of communication to be determined at start - Reinforcement of need to advise of postponement / change to meetings		

HOUSING AND PROPERTY SERVICES

QUARTER 1 April – June 2014

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints					
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative	
2014/15 Quarter 1	48	13 (27%)	0	35 (73%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	46	12 (26%)	0	33 (72%)	
2013/14 Quarter 3	31	10 (32%)	21 (68%)	0	
2013/14 Quarter 2	31	14 (45%)	17 (55%)	0	
2013/14 Quarter 1	21	7 (33%)	14 (67%)	0	
Comment:					

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage			
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2014/15 Quarter 1	2 (15%)	1 (8%)	10 (77%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	3 (25%)	2 (17%)	7 (58%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	6 (60%)	1 (10%)	3 (30%)
2013/14 Quarter 2	3 (21%)	3 (21%)	8 (58%)
2013/14 Quarter 1	3 (43%)	2 (28%)	2 (28%)
Comment:			

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage			
•	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2014/15 Quarter 1	0	0	0
2013/14 Quarter 4	0	0	0
2013/14 Quarter 3	4 (19%)	2 (10%)	15 (71%)
2013/14 Quarter 2	3 (18%)	3 (18%)	11 (65%)
2013/14 Quarter 1	4(29%)	4(29%)	5 (36%)
Comment:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage			
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2014/15 Quarter 1	11 (31%)	2 (6%)	22 (63%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	9(27%)	6(18%)	18(55%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	0	0	0
2013/14 Quarter 2	0	0	0
2013/14 Quarter 1	0	0	0

Indicator – Average Times

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage			
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative
2014/15 Quarter 1	2.2	n/a	17.5
2013/14 Quarter 4	2.6	n/a	16.7
2013/14 Quarter 3	2.7	17.1	n/a
2013/14 Quarter 2	1.3	16.5	n/a
2013/14 Quarter 1	3.0	14.6	n/a
Comment:			

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the			
set timescales of 5 and 20 working days			
	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2014/15 Quarter 1	13 (100%)	0	31 (89%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	12 (100%)	0	28(85%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	10 (100%)	19 (90%)	0
2013/14 Quarter 2	14 (100%)	16 (94%)	0
2013/14 Quarter 1	7 (100%)	11 (79%)	0

Comment:

- 1 investigative complaint (id 6935) was 8 working days late. The delay was due to translation problems and because there was an error in not initially recording the message from the complainant as a complaint.
- 3 investigative complaints (ids 6754, 6834, 6779) were 6, 4, and 5 working days late respectively. However, for all 3, holding letters were sent before the due dates and the responses were within the extended timescales.

Indicator - number of cases where an extension is authorised

	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2014/15 Quarter 1	0	3 (9%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	0	2 (6%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	0	2 (10%)
2013/14 Quarter 2	0	1 (6%)
2013/14 Quarter 1	0	2 (14%)

Indicator - Learning from complaints

Out	Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints					
ID	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken		
6766	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Head of Service	Building Services Manager to review the appointments system.		
6871	Complaint against Staff	Upheld	Housing Services Manager	A review is being undertaken to look at the customer contact in relation to garage sites.		
6872	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Asset Manager	The contractor is no longer used by the council.		
6908	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Head of Service	A senior manager spoke with the supervisors concerned to ensure that future works are co-ordinated better in order to minimise disruption and delays to tenants.		