COMMUNITY CARE

QUARTER 2 - July to September 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears June 2014 – August 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages					
as a percentage of all complaints closed					
	Total	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)	
	Received	Closed Frontline	Closed Escalated	Closed Investigative	
Quarter 2 13/14	5			5 (62%)	
Quarter 3 13/14	5	2 (22%)		7 (78%)	
Quarter 4 13/14	4	2 (40%)		3 (60%)	
Quarter 1 14/15	4			3 (75%)	
Quarter 2 14/15	5	2 (40%)		3 (60%)	

Comment:

In quarter 2, 3 Investigative and 2 Frontline complaints were received.

Notes: There were 7 additional MSP/MP enquiries.

<u>Indicator – Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld</u>

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage					
		No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
Quarter 2 13/14					
Quarter 3 13/14	2		1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
Quarter 4 13/14	2	1 (50%)		1 (50%)	
Quarter 1 14/15	3	1 (33%)		2 (67%)	
Quarter 2 14/15	2	1 (50%)	1 (50%)		

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage					
	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)		
	Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld		
Quarter 2 13/14					
Quarter 3 13/14					
Quarter 4 13/14					
Quarter 1 14/15					
Quarter 2 14/15					
Comment:					

Number of Ir	nvestigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage
	of complaints closed in full at each stage

or complaints closed in full at each stage					
		No (%)	No (%)	No (%)	
		Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld	
Quarter 2 13/14	5	3 (60%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	
Quarter 3 13/14	7		3 (43%)	4 (57%)	
Quarter 4 13/14	3	1 (33%)	2 (67%)		
Quarter 1 14/15					
Quarter 2 14/15	3	2 (66%)		1 (33%)	

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Indicator – Average Times

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage					
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative		
Quarter 2 13/14			22 days		
Quarter 3 13/14	3		24 days		
Quarter 4 13/14	2		19 days		
Quarter 1 14/15			29 days		
Quarter 2 14/15	1		11 days		

Comment:

In quarter 2, 3 investigative and 2 frontline complaint stages were fully responded to.

Notes: Calculation based on not counting date of receipt but counting actual response date.

<u>Indicator – Performance against Timescales</u>

In quarter 2 all complaints were responded to within the target timescale.

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days					
	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)		
Quarter 2 13/14			2 (40%)		
Quarter 3 13/14	2 (100%)		2 (29%)		
Quarter 4 13/14	2 (100%)		3 (100%)		
Quarter 1 14/15			2 (66%)		
Quarter 2 14/15	2 (100%)		3 (100%)		
Comment:					

Indicator - number of cases where an extension is authorised

	(%)	No (%)
·	itline	Investigative (20 days)
Quarter 3 13/14		3 (100%)
Qualter 5 15/17		4 (80%)
Quarter 4 13/14		
Quarter 1 14/15		
Quarter 2 14/15		

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number of complaints out with target timescale and of those how many where there was a holding letter sent.

Indicator – Learning from complaints

Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the							
	consideration of complaints						
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken				
Investigative Complaint – Process/Procedure (Stage 1 & 2)	Upheld	Corporate Policy	Reinforcement: Appropriate action will be taken with staff involved and the service as a whole will review the risk assessment and risk management plan associated with service user's care.				
Investigative Complaint – Process/Procedure (Stage 1 & 2)	Upheld	Community Care – Service Manager	Review/Revise: Service to review medical administration and recording procedure and ensure all home from hospital carers receive additional training.				

CORPORATE SERVICES

QUARTER 2 July to September 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears June 2014 – August 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Social Work Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints					
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative	
Quarter 2 2013/14	14	12 (86%)		2 (14%)	
Quarter 3 2013/14	10	7 (70%)	1 (10%)	2 (30%)	
Quarter 4 2013/14	9	8 (89%)		1 (11%)	
Quarter 1 2014/15	19	18 (95%)		1 (5%)	
Quarter 2 2014/15	8	6 (75%)		2 (25%)	

Comment:

Notes: Excluded MP/MSP and out with the complaints procedure

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
Quarter 2 2013/14	5 (42%)	2 (16%)	5 (42%)	
Quarter 3 2013/14	4 (57%)	, ,	3 (43%)	
Quarter 4 2013/14	2 (25%)		6 (75%)	
Quarter 1 2014/15	5 (28%)	1 (5%)	12 (67%)	
Quarter 2 2014/15	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)	

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of					
complaints closed in full	l at each stag	е			
	No (%) No (%) No (%)				
	Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld		
Quarter 2 2013/14					
Quarter 3 2013/14			1 (100%)		
Quarter 4 2013/14					
Quarter 1 2014/15					
Quarter 2 2014/15					
Comment:					

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
Quarter 2 2013/14		1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
Quarter 3 2013/14			2 (100%)	
Quarter 4 2013/14		1 (100%)		
Quarter 1 2014/15			1 (100%)	
Quarter 2 2014/15	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	,	

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

<u>Indicator – Average Times</u>

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage			
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative
Quarter 2 2013/14	4 days		13 days
Quarter 3 2013/14	4 days	15 days	9 days
Quarter 4 2013/14	7 days		22 days
Quarter 1 2014/15	4 days		9 days
Quarter 2 2014/15	5 days		17 days

Comment:

Note: figure is number of days complaints answered within, day complaint received is day 1

<u>Indicator – Performance against Timescales</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days				
No (%) No (%) Frontline Escalated Inv (5 days) (20 days)				
Quarter 2 2013/14	11(92%)		2 (100%)	
Quarter 3 2013/14	7 (100%)		3 (100%)	
Quarter 4 2013/14	4 (50%)			
Quarter 1 2014/15	18 (100%)		1 (100%)	
Quarter 2 2014/15	5 (83%)		2 (100%)	

Comment:

One Frontline Complaint was responded to in 12 working days, out with target timescales of 5 working days. The complainant was contacted by officer to assure action had been undertaken.

<u>Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised			
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
Quarter 2 2013/14		, , ,	
Quarter 3 2013/14			
Quarter 4 2013/14			

Quarter 1 2014/15	
Quarter 2 2014/15	

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number of complaints out with target timescale and of those how many where there was a holding letter sent.

Indicator - Learning from complaints

Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints				
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken	
Frontline – Process / Procedure	Upheld	Customer Services Manager	Redress - complainant contacted and reassured that payment would be issued	
Frontline – Council Tax	Upheld	Customer Service Manager	Redress – apology issued and lessons highlighted with staff	
Frontline – Council Tax	Upheld	Customer Service Manager	Reinforcement – System updated to reflect up to date position	
Frontline – Council Tax	Part Upheld	Customer Service Manager	Redress – Targeted processing amongst general backlog to resolve	
Investigation – Council Tax	Upheld	Customer Service Manager	Reinforcement – enquiry processes emphasised with staff members	
Investigation – Process / Procedure	Part Upheld	Customer Service Manager	Reinforcement – regulations highlighted to operators through newsletters and correspondence	

CRIMINAL JUSTICE

QUARTER 2 - July to September 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears June 2014 – August 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator - Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints closed					
Total No (%) No (%) No (Closed Closed Closed Frontline Escalated Investion					
Quarter 2 13/14					
Quarter 3 13/14					
Quarter 4 13/14					
Quarter 1 14/15					
Quarter 2 14/15	2	2 (100%)			
Comment:	•		1	1	

In quarter 2, 2 Frontline complaints were received.

<u>Indicator – Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld</u>

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
No (%) No (%) No (%) No to the second				
Quarter 2 13/14				
Quarter 3 13/14				
Quarter 4 13/14				
Quarter 1 14/15				
Quarter 2 14/15			2 (100%)	
Comment:		•		

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of					
complaints closed in full at each stage					
No (%) No (%)					
	Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld		
Quarter 2 13/14					
Quarter 3 13/14					
Quarter 4 13/14					
Quarter 1 14/15					
Quarter 2 14/15					
Comment:					

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage						
of complaints clos	of complaints closed in full at each stage					
No (%) No (%) No (Upheld Part Upheld Not Uph						
Quarter 2 13/14						
Quarter 3 13/14						
Quarter 4 13/14						
Quarter 1 14/15	Quarter 1 14/15					
Quarter 2 14/15						
Comment:						
Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed						

Indicator - Average Times

The average time in working days for a full re	sponse to cor	nplaints at ea	ch stage
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative
Quarter 2 13/14			
Quarter 3 13/14			
Quarter 4 13/14			
Quarter 1 14/15			
Quarter 2 14/15	8		
Comment:			
Notes: Calculation based on not counting date of rece	ipt but counting	g actual respor	nse date.

Indicator - Performance against Timescales					
Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the					
set timescales of 5 and 2	20 working day	'S			
No (%) No (%) No (%) Frontline Escalated Investigative (5 days) (20 days) (20 days)					
Quarter 2 13/14					
Quarter 3 13/14					
Quarter 4 13/14					
Quarter 1 14/15					
Quarter 2 14/15	1 (50%)				
Comment: In quarter 2, 1 Frontline complaint was re-	sponded to out	with the target	timescale.		

<u>Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised			
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)	
Quarter 2 13/14			
Quarter 3 13/14			
Quarter 4 13/14			
Quarter 1 14/15			
Quarter 2 14/15			
Comment:	•		

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

QUARTER 2 2014/15 July – September 2014

Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears (June 2013 – August 2014)

Indicator - Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints				
•	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
2013/14 Quarter 2	8	5(62%)		3(37%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	8	2(25%)	6(75%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	4	2(50%)		2(50%)
2014/15 Quarter 1	14	5(36%)		9(64%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	14	8(57%)		6(43%)
Comment:			•	

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2013/14 Quarter 2	Opnicia	2(40%)	3(60%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	1(50%)		1(50%)
2013/14 Quarter 4		1(50%)	1(50%)
2014/15 Quarter 1	1(20%)	,	4(80%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	1(12%)	2(25%)	5(62%)

No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
		-
0	1(17%)	5(83%)
		, ,
		Upheld Part Upheld

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentag of complaints closed in full at each stage				
,	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
2013/14 Quarter 2		_	3(100%)	
2013/14 Quarter 3			,	
2013/14 Quarter 4			2(100%)	
2014/15 Quarter 1		2(22%)	7(77%)	
2014/15 Quarter 2		2(33%)	4(67%)	

Comment:

Indicator – Average Times

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage				
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative	
2013/14 Quarter 2	2.2		17.3	
2013/14 Quarter 3	2.5	19.3	n/a	
2013/14 Quarter 4	4.5		14.5	
2014/15 Quarter 1	2.8		14.0	
2014/15 Quarter 2	4.6		18.5	
Comment:				

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days

	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2013/14 Quarter 2	5(100%)		3(100%
2013/14 Quarter 3	2(100%)	5(83%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	2(100%)		2 (100%)
2014/15 Quarter 1	5(100%)		9(100%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	7(87%)		4(67%)

Comment:

One frontline complaint id 7021 was one day late due to workload.

Two frontline and one investigative complaints had authorised extensions.

One investigative complaint was three days late. The delay was because the complaint was complex involving Development Services, Legal, and Community Engagement and took longer than anticipated.

Indicator - number of cases where an extension is authorised

Indicator - Learning from complaints

	Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints				
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken		
7060 Other	Part upheld	Development Management Manager	Action to reinforce the importance of meeting timescales for preliminary enquiries - Redress		
7072 Other	Part upheld	Environmental Health Manager	There was an issue to do with the introduction of new software which has been resolved - reinforcement		
7032 Process/Procedure	Part upheld	Head of Development Services	Review the non material variation process - review/revise		
7117 Process/Procedure	Upheld	Head of Development Services	The outstanding planning application was processed. There was a technical fault with the online planning system which has been resolved review/revise		
7083 Complaint against staff	Part upheld	Environmental Health Manager	The officer was counselled. Procedures revised regarding Community Wardens undertaking visits. Consideration will be given to age of the person being visited and day of the week review/revise		

DIRECT SERVICES

QUARTER 2 July - September 2014

Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears (June 2014 – August 2014)

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints				
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
2013/14 Quarter 2	25	23 (92%)		2 (8%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	26	21 (81%)	4 (15%)	1 (4%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	25	23 (92%)	2 (8%)	
2014/15 Quarter 1	49	44 (90%)	4 (8%)	1 (2%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	45	39 (87%)	2 (4%)	4 (9%)
Comment:				

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2013/14 Quarter 2	3 (13%)	i uit opiioiu	20 (87%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	3(14%)	1 (5%)	16 (76%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	1 (4%)	` '	22 (96%)
2014/15 Quarter 1	4 (9%)	2 (4%)	38 (86%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	5 (13%)	6 (15%)	28 (72%)

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage				
	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld	
2013/14 Quarter 2				
2013/14 Quarter 3			4 (100%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4		1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
2014/15 Quarter 1			4 (100%)	
2014/15 Quarter 2		1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
Comment:	•	· · · · ·		

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage

	No (%) Upheld	No (%) Part Upheld	No (%) Not Upheld
2013/14 Quarter 2			2 (100%)
2013/14 Quarter 3			1 (100%)
2013/14 Quarter 4			,
2014/15 Quarter 1			1 (100%)
2014/15 Quarter 2			4 (100%)
Comment:	<u> </u>	•	, ,

<u>Indicator – Average Times</u>

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage			
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative
2013/14 Quarter 2	3.2		20
2013/14 Quarter 3	4.4	19	26
2013/14 Quarter 4	3.0	11.5	
2014/15 Quarter 1	2.8	16.2	19.0
2014/15 Quarter 2	7.9	16.5	26

Comment: One frontline complaint (id 7057) was not completed for nearly four months because officers had mistakenly identified the complainant. They had replied to an address of a person who had made an enquiry about the same subject as the complaint. The officers thought that the complaint was closed after eight days.

One investigative (id 7126) complaint took 41 days which is over twice the target time of 20 days. The complaint was in relation to the August flooding. It was recorded as a complaint and the details were passed to the Housing and Property Section but were lost in transit.

<u>Indicator – Performance against Timescales</u>

	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2013/14 Quarter 2	16 (69%)		1(50%)
2013/14 Quarter 3	11 (52%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)
2013/14 Quarter 4	21 (91%)	2 (100%)	
2014/15 Quarter 1	42 (95%)	3 (75%)	1 (100%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	28 (72%)	2 (100%)	1 (25%)

Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised

	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2013/14 Quarter 2		
2013/14 Quarter 3		1 (20%)
2013/14 Quarter 4		
2014/15 Quarter 1		
2014/15 Quarter 2	2 (5%)	1 (17%)

Indicator - Learning from complaints

	Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints				
Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken		
7156 Other	Part- Upheld	Head of Direct Services	Procedures for Dial a Bus are being reviewed and will take into account the points made in the complaint Review/Revise		
6926 Road Maintenance	Part upheld	Head of Direct Services	Road surface dressing. An offer was made to pay for cleaning the vehicle - Redress		
6927 Road Maintenance	Part upheld	Head of Direct Services	Road surface dressing. An offer was made to pay for cleaning the vehicle - Redress		
6929 Road Maintenance	Part upheld	Head of Direct Services	Road surface dressing. An offer was made to pay for cleaning the vehicle - Redress		
6950 Process/Procedure	Upheld	Project Officer	Bus pass. Apology given for clerical error Reinforcement		
7046 Recycling	Upheld	Waste Disposal Officer	Closing time of recycle centre. There was a temporary trial to close earlier to comply with licensing laws but signage was not changed. Procedures to be reviewed. Review/Revise		
7061 Footpaths/pavements	Upheld	Head of Direct Services	Surface of footpath The member of staff will receive further training to ensure this does not happen again - Reinforcement-		
7071 Other	Part upheld	Consultancy Manager	Mobility scooter access Fencing was moved to allow access - Redress		
7080 Road Maintenance	Part upheld	Roads Technician West	Road surface dressing - The site is to be investigated and an offer was made to pay for cleaning the vehicle - Redress		

Type of Complaint	Outcome	Responsible Officer	Action taken
7115 Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Assistant Lands & Parks Officer	L&P staff reminded of behaviour/customer care policy. Reinforcement
7131 Household Collections	Part upheld	Waste Manager	Bin collection issues Supported lists to be updated - Reinforcement
7165 Complaint Against Staff	Upheld	Waste Manager	A member of staff counselled. – Reinforcement-

EDUCATION & SOCIAL CARE

(Schools & Curriculum Development and Lifelong Learning, Culture and Sport)

QUARTER 2 July 2014 - September 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears June 2014 – August 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator - Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints				
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative
Quarter 2 2013/14	19	3 (30%)	-	7 (70%)
Quarter 3 2013/14	20	5 (25%)	-	14 (70%)
Quarter 4 2013/14	15	6 (40%)	-	9 (60%)
Quarter 1 2014/15	15	9 (60%)	-	6 (40%)
Quarter 2 2014/15	15	2 (13%)	-	10 (67%)

Comment:

In quarter 2, both Frontline complaints were resolved within the period while 10 of the 13 investigative stage complaints received in the quarter were closed by quarter end.

Notes: Excluded MP/MSP and out with the complaints procedure

<u>Indicator – Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld</u>

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage					
No (%) No (%) No Upheld Part Upheld Not U					
Quarter 2 2013/14	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)		
Quarter 3 2013/14	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)		
Quarter 4 2013/14	-	1 (17%)	5 (83%)		
Quarter 1 2014/15	1 (11%)	1 (11%)	7 (83%)		
Quarter 2 2014/15	-	-	2 (100%)		
Comment:					

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of			
complaints closed in full at each stage			
	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)
	Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld
Quarter 2 2013/14 N/A			
Quarter 3 2013/14 N/A			
Quarter 4 2013/14 N/A			
Quarter 1 2014/15 N/A			
Quarter 2 2014/15 N/A			
Comment:			

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage

or complaints closed in full at each stage			
	No (%)	No (%)	No (%)
	Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld
Quarter 2 2013/14	1 (14%)	4 (57%)	2 (29%)
Quarter 3 2013/14	5 (36%)	7 (50%)	2 (14%)
Quarter 4 2013/14	6 (67%)	1 (11%)	2 (22%)
Quarter 1 2014/15	2 (33%)	-	4 (67%)
Quarter 2 2014/15	2 (20%)	1 (10%)	7 (70%)

Comment:

7 of the 10 investigative stage complaints in the quarter were not upheld, while 2 were upheld and 1 partially upheld.

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

Indicator – Average Times

The average time in working day	s for a full response to cor	nplaints at ea	ch stage
·	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative
Quarter 2 2013/14	4.3	-	8.3
Quarter 3 2013/14	0.6	-	14.4
Quarter 4 2013/14	2	-	22.6
Quarter 1 2014/15	2.3	-	15.7
Quarter 2 2014/15	2.5	-	15.2

Comment:

In quarter 4,

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days			
	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
Quarter 2 2013/14 N/A	2 (67%)	-	7 (100%)
Quarter 3 2013/14	5 (100%)	-	13 (93%)
Quarter 4 2013/14	6 (100%)	-	5 (56%)
Quarter 1 2014/15	9 (100%)	-	4 (67%)
Quarter 2 2014/15	2 (100%)		8 (80%)
Comment:			, , , ,

Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised		
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
Quarter 2 2013/14	-	-
Quarter 3 2013/14	-	1 (50%)
Quarter 4 2013/14	-	1 (25%)
Quarter 1 2014/15	-	2 (33%)
Quarter 2 2014/15	-	-

Comment:

Quarter 2: two investigative complaints were not responded to within target timescales, both had no extension agreed.

Notes: Calculation based on number of complaints out with target timescale and of those how many where there was a holding letter sent.

Indicator – Learning from complaints (Quarter 2)

Outline	Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints					
Type of Outcome Responsible Action taken Complaint Officer						
Complaint Against Staff	Part upheld	Quality Improvement Officer	Review / revise: Procedures for dealing with exclusions reviewed.			
Process / Procedure	Upheld	Quality Improvement Officer	Reinforcement: Acknowledged school staff answered parental query on generic rather than specific basis.			
Bullying	Upheld	Head Teacher	Review / revise: Anti-bullying policy reviewed and updated. Specific PSE classes held in 1 st term to reinforce positive behaviour.			

INTEGRATED CHILDREN SERVICES

QUARTER 2 July 2014 - September 2014

(Note: to avoid reporting response times across the quarter, the reporting quarter is calculated one month in arrears June 2014 – August 2014)

Notes: for the purposes of complaint reporting, Stage 1 and 2 are classed as investigative as response timescales are the same

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints						
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative		
Quarter 2 2013/14	7	-	-	7 (100%)		
Quarter 3 2013/14	6	-	-	5 (83%)		
Quarter 4 2013/14	9	-	-	8 (89%)		
Quarter 1 2014/15	9			9 (100%)		
Quarter 2 2014/15	7	5 (71%)	-	2 (29%)		

Comment:

In quarter 2, a total of seven complaints were received – five frontline and two investigative stage complaints - all of which were resolved at that stage.

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

Number of Frontline Complain	ts upheld / partially u	pheld / not	upheld as a pe	ercentage of	
comp	plaints closed in full a	t each stag	je		
	No (%) No (%) No (%)				
		Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld	
Quarter 2 2013/14	N/A				
Quarter 3 2013/14	N/A	-	-	-	
Quarter 4 2013/14	N/A	-	-	-	
Quarter 1 2014/15	N/A	-	-	-	
Quarter 2 2014/15		-	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	
Comment:	<u>.</u>			•	

Number of Escalated Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of					
comp	laints closed in full	at each stag	je		
-	No (%) No (%) No (%)				
		Upheld	Part Upheld	Not Upheld	
Quarter 2 2013/14	N/A				
Quarter 3 2013/14	N/A	-	-	-	
Quarter 4 2013/14	N/A	-	-	-	
Quarter 1 2014/15	N/A	-	-	-	
Quarter 2 2014/15	N/A	-	-	-	
Comment:					

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage No (%) No (%) No (%) Upheld Part Upheld Not Upheld Quarter 2 2013/14 1 (12.5%) 2 (25%) 5 (62.5%) Quarter 3 2013/14 1 (20%) 2 (40%) 2 (40%) Quarter 4 2013/14 4 (50%) 4 (50%) Quarter 1 2014/15 7 (78%) 1 (11%) 1 (11%) Quarter 2 2014/15 1 (50%) 1 (50%)

Comment:

Notes: Calculation based on number and percentage of complaints closed

<u>Indicator – Average Times</u>

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage					
	No of days No of c				
Quarter 2 2013/14	-	-	24		
Quarter 3 2013/14	-	-	15		
Quarter 4 2013/14	-	-	27		
Quarter 1 2014/15	-	-	23		
Quarter 2 2014/15	12		6		

Comment:

In quarter 2, 2 investigative complaints were fully responded to in a total of 12 days; an average of 6 days per complaint. Five frontline complaints took a total of 59 days; an average of 12 days. Three of the five complaints took far longer to resolve due to complexity of complaints; an extension was agreed for one of the complaints.

<u>Indicator – Performance against Timescales</u>

set timescales of 5 and 2	•		ill within the
	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
Quarter 2 2013/14	-	-	6 (75%)
Quarter 3 2013/14	-	-	5 (83%)
Quarter 4 2013/14	-	-	4 (50%)
Quarter 1 2014/15	-	-	5 (56%)
Quarter 2 2014/15	2 (40%)		2 (100%)

Comment:

In quarter 2, three frontline complaints missed response timescale targets – one had agreed extensions while the others were several days late.

<u>Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised				
No (%) No (%				
0 1 00010/11	Frontline	Investigative		
Quarter 2 2013/14	-	2 (25%)		
Quarter 3 2013/14	-	1 (100%)		
Quarter 4 2013/14	-	2 (50%)		
Quarter 1 2014/15	-	3 (33%)		
Quarter 2 2014/15	1 (33%)	-		

Comment:

In quarter 2, one complaint received authorised extensions due to the complex nature of the complaint.

Indicator – Learning from complaints (Quarter 2)

Outline	Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of complaints					
Type of Outcome Responsible Action taken Complaint Officer						
Complaint against staff	Part upheld	Head of Integrated Children's Services	Reinforcement of need to follow existing protocol in a timely fashion			
Complaint against staff	Part upheld	Area Team Manager (SW)	Review / revise: Acknowledged issues in communication with parent, procedures reviewed and staff reminded of responsibilities.			
Process / procedure	Part upheld	Quality Improvement Officer	Reinforcement of procedures to be followed			

HOUSING AND PROPERTY SERVICES

QUARTER 2 2014/15 July - September 2014

Indicator – Closed Complaints

Complaints closed at Frontline and Investigative Stages as a percentage of all complaints					
	Total Received	No (%) Closed Frontline	No (%) Closed Escalated	No (%) Closed Investigative	
2013/14 Quarter 2	31	14 (45%)	17 (55%)		
2013/14 Quarter 3	31	10 (32%)	21 (68%)		
2013/14 Quarter 4	46	12 (26%)		33 (72%)	
2014/15 Quarter 1	48	13 (27%)		35 (73%)	
2014/15 Quarter 2	39	8 (21%)	1 (3%)	30 (77%)	
Comment:	•				

Indicator - Complaints Upheld, Partially Upheld, Not Upheld

Number of Frontline Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage						
No (%) No (%) No (Upheld Part Upheld Not Up						
2013/14 Quarter 2	3 (21%)	3 (21%)	8 (58%)			
2013/14 Quarter 3	6 (60%)	1 (10%)	3 (30%)			
2013/14 Quarter 4	3 (25%)	2 (17%)	7 (58%)			
2014/15 Quarter 1	2 (15%)	1 (8%)	10 (77%)			
2014/15 Quarter 2	1 (12%)	2 (25%)	5 (62%)			
Comment:						

	in full at each stage	E				
	No (%) No (%) Upheld Part Upheld No					
2013/14 Quarter 2	3 (18%)	3 (18%)	11 (65%)			
2013/14 Quarter 3	4 (19%)	2 (10%)	15 (71%)			
2013/14 Quarter 4	, ,	, ,				
2014/15 Quarter 1						
2014/15 Quarter 2	1 (100%)					

Number of Investigative Complaints upheld / partially upheld / not upheld as a percentage of complaints closed in full at each stage					
No (%) No (%) No (%) Upheld Part Upheld Not Upheld					
2013/14 Quarter 2					
2013/14 Quarter 3					
2013/14 Quarter 4	9(27%)	6(18%)	18(55%)		
2014/15 Quarter 1	11 (31%)	2 (6%)	22 (63%)		
2014/15 Quarter 2	5 (17%)	3 (10%)	22 (73%)		

Comment:		

<u>Indicator – Average Times</u>

The average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each stage			
	No of days Frontline	No of days Escalated	No of days Investigative
2013/14 Quarter 2	1.3	16.5	
2013/14 Quarter 3	2.7	17.1	
2013/14 Quarter 4	2.6		16.7
2014/15 Quarter 1	2.2		17.5
2014/15 Quarter 2	2.9	19	17.3
Comment:			

Indicator – Performance against Timescales

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage which were closed in full within the set timescales of 5 and 20 working days			
	No (%) Frontline (5 days)	No (%) Escalated (20 days)	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2013/14 Quarter 2	14 (100%)	16 (94%)	
2013/14 Quarter 3	10 (100%)	19 (90%)	
2013/14 Quarter 4	12 (100%)		28(85%)
2014/15 Quarter 1	13 (100%)		31 (89%)
2014/15 Quarter 2	10 (100%)	2 (100%)	21 (91%)

Comment: 7099 had an extension due to a holding letter 7138 was 4 days overdue. This was because it was a complicated complaint which involved several sections.

<u>Indicator – number of cases where an extension is authorised</u>

Number and percentage of complaints at each stage where an extension to the 5 or 20 working day timeline has been authorised		
	No (%) Frontline	No (%) Investigative (20 days)
2013/14 Quarter 2		1 (6%)
2013/14 Quarter 3		2 (10%)
2013/14 Quarter 4		2 (6%)
2014/15 Quarter 1		3 (9%)
2014/15 Quarter 2		2
Comment:	<u>'</u>	

Indicator – Learning from complaints

Out	Outline changes or improvements to services or procedures as a result of the consideration of				
ID	complaints ID Type of Complaint Outcome Responsible Action taken				
	Type of Complaint	Outcome	Officer	Action taken	
7133	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Part upheld	Senior Building Maintenance Manager	Repair done. Apology given -reinforcement	
7119	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Senior Housing Projects Officer	The contractor was asked to progress the work as soon as possible redress	
7210	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Senior Building Maintenance Manager	An apology was given and an appointment was made to discuss the problem redress	
7031	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Part upheld	Head of Service	Points raised are to be fed back to staff reinforcement	
7025	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Part upheld	Asset Manager	The complainant was reimbursed - reimbursement	
7036	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Senior Building Maintenance Manager	An apology was given -redress	
7220	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Head of Service	An apology was given -redress	
7167	Housing Estate Management	Upheld	Head of Service	An assurance was given that the Council are monitoring the situation and action will be taken if the issue continues review/revise	
7114	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Head of Service	Increased inspections will take place reinforcement	
7216	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Head of Service	An apology was given and works scheduled redress	
7124	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Part upheld	Head of Service	An apology was given and remedial works were carried out. The use of the contractor is to be reviewed redress	
7206	Repairs/Capital/Planned maintenance	Upheld	Head of Service	An apology was given reinforcement	