

REPORT TO: COMMUNITY PLANNING BOARD ON 4 MARCH 2010

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF COMMUNITIY PLANNING OPERATIONAL GROUPS

BY: CORPORATE POLICY UNIT MANAGER

1. REASON FOR REPORT

- 1.1 The Community Planning Board is asked to consider the changes to the operational groups as revised by the strategic theme groups and to consider any further recommendations arising from this information.

2. RECOMMENDATION

- 2.1 The Community Planning Board is asked to consider the changes to the operational groups as revised by the strategic theme groups and to consider any further recommendations arising from this information.
- 2.2 The Community Planning Board have a clearer picture of the scale of the resources being used to deliver the key actions within the SOA. However further work is required to collect the outcomes for each operational group and to establish a reporting mechanism from the operational groups to the strategic theme groups.

3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 At its meeting on 19 November the Community Planning Board agreed that strategic groups need to consider whether all of the identified operational groups are still required. If they are still required they should provide the defined outcomes for each group along with timescales for their achievement.
- 3.2 In addition the Board agreed that the formation of any future groups should be approved by the Community Planning Board.
- 3.3 Discussions have taken place with the Officers of each strategic group to determine which operational groups sit below their own particular theme. The attached appendix details the structure of the groups as revised by the Strategic Themes Group along with their remit and membership. **(Appendix)**.
- 3.4 A summary of the outcomes for each of the strategic theme groups during the review and any outstanding issues are provided below:
- 3.4.1 Community Planning Board
- There are no issues to highlight and no outstanding details.

3.4.2 Community Engagement

- This theme has a lot more groups than any of the others due to the fact that it has area forums for each area and each forum has 'sub groups'.
- There are a number of health groups included as they are used to engage with the public.
- Information is still awaited for a few names for "Better Community Engagement", "Moray Forum" and "Youth Forum".

3.4.3 Greener

- The Strategic Group agreed that there was no need to set up any operational groups.
- Working groups have now been identified which carry out the work of the greener strategic group. The groups have a wide variety of members and there are no obvious duplications across these groups.
- The North East Waste Strategy Group has not been meeting recently so perhaps is not needed.
- There are no outstanding issues.

3.4.4 Healthier

- The Strategic Group agreed that the list of operational groups should be submitted to the Community Planning Board with the proviso that the Community Planning and Operational groups are still being reviewed.
- There are some outstanding details within this appendix : - 2a Older People's Strategy Steering Group and 2a (i) Older People's Reference Group.
- Some names and/or titles are missing/outstanding.

3.4.5 Safer & Stronger

- Groups have very clear lines of responsibility and structures in place. Groups are all relevant and have a clear remit but there are a number of people sit on all of the groups.
- Housing Strategy Group has a number of Council representatives but these are all required to obtain a wide range of views on what is needed.
- Information awaited for membership for the homelessness strategy group and the temporary, supported and permanent accommodation group.

3.4.6 Smarter

- There are some outstanding details within this appendix : 2j - Child Health in Moray (CHIM). There are some name and/or titles still outstanding.

3.4.7 Wealthier & Fairer

- The Strategic Group agreed that there was no need to set up any operational groups.
- Working groups have now been identified which carry out the work of the wealthier & fairer strategic group. The groups have a wide variety of members and there are no obvious duplications across these groups.
- Information awaited for the membership for the Business Panel.

- 3.5 As stated above there are still some details required to complete the information. However a clearer picture of the scale of resources involved within the Partnership and the groups associated with the local outcomes are now available.
- 3.6 The outcomes for each operational group now need to be collected and a reporting mechanism from the operational groups to the strategic theme groups needs to be established.

4. SUMMARY OF IMPLICATIONS

(a) Single Outcome Agreement/Service Improvement Plan

As reported in the original report.

(b) Policy and Legal

As reported in the original report.

(c) Resources (Financial, Risks, Staffing and Property)

As reported in the original report.

(d) Consultations

In writing this report, the Lead Officers and strategic theme groups were consulted.

5.0 CONCLUSION

- 5.1 **The Community Planning Board are now in a better position to understand the resource implications for partners to service the partnership. The remits and membership of the operational groups are available but further work needs to be done to capture the outcomes expected from the groups. Additionally a reporting structure needs to be established between the operational groups and strategic theme groups to enable the strategic theme groups to monitor key actions within their remit.**

Author of Report: Donna Skene/Shelley Flett
Background Papers:
Ref: