ITEM: 14 APPENDIX 2

Minister for Local Government and Housing Kevin Stewart MSP



T: 0300 244 4000

E: scottish.ministers@gov.scot

Mr Roddy Burns Chief Executive Moray Council

21 December 2017

Dear Mr Burns

PLANNING PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK FEEDBACK 2016/17

Please find attached feedback on your planning performance framework report for the period April 2016 to March 2017.

You will be aware that we recently introduced the Planning Bill to the Scottish Parliament. The Bill aims to support effective performance across a range of planning functions. It includes specific provisions to strengthen and improve performance monitoring; to appoint a national performance co-ordinator to provide advice and recommendations; and powers to conduct assessments and if necessary require improvements to be made. This structured approach is essential to improving the reputation of the system across the country. It aims to provide better support to authorities, whilst recognising that other factors and stakeholders, impact on your performance.

I appreciate that resourcing is a critical issue for you, and the Bill includes provisions for discretionary charging to allow greater local flexibility. Following the Bill, we will consult on revising the fee regime to better reflect the developments which are being brought forward.

We will continue to liaise with COSLA, SOLACE and Heads of Planning Scotland as the Bill progresses through the Parliamentary process. I would like to take this opportunity to encourage you all to actively engage - this is a fantastic opportunity to make our system work better to enable planners to deliver the high-quality development our communities need, and it is important that voices from all viewpoints are heard. You can monitor the progress of the Bill on the Parliament website at: www.parliament.scot/parliamentarybusiness/Bills/106768.aspx

Kind Regards

KEVIN STEWART

CC: Jim Grant, Head of Development Services







PERFORMANCE MARKERS REPORT 2016/17

Name of planning authority: Moray Council

The High Level Group on Performance agreed a set of performance markers. We have assessed your report against those markers to give an indication of priority areas for improvement action. The high level group will monitor and evaluate how the key markers have been reported and the value which they have added.

The Red, Amber, Green ratings are based on the evidence provided within the PPF reports. Where no information or insufficient evidence has been provided, a 'red' marking has been allocated.

No.	Performance Marker	RAG rating	Comments		
1	Decision-making: continuous reduction of average timescales for all development categories [Q1 - Q4]		Major Applications Your timescales of 16.9 weeks have improved since the previous year and are faster than the Scottish average of 37.1 weeks. RAG = Green Local Non-Householder Applications Your timescales of 7.2 weeks have improved since the previous year and are faster than the Scottish average of 11.1 weeks. RAG = Green Householder Applications Your timescales of 5.7 weeks have improved since the previous year and are faster than Scottish average of 7.3 weeks.		
			RAG = Green Overall RAG = Green		
2	Processing agreements: offer to all prospective applicants for major development planning applications; and availability publicised on website	Green	Processing agreements are offered to all applicants for both major and local applications. RAG = Green Availability of processing agreements is publicised on your website. RAG = Green		
			Overall RAG = Green		





3	Early collaboration with applicants and consultees	Green	Your case study demonstrates your commitment to delivering pre-application advice to applicants with a focus on adding value to ensure that officer time is utilised effectively as the service is provided free of charge. Pre-enquiry forms are provided online to help prospective applicants provide the right level of detail. RAG = Green Joint pre-application meetings are held with internal and external stakeholders and written advice is provided post meeting. This along with the range of supplementary guidance you have in place ensures requests for supporting information are clear and proportionate. RAG = Green Overall RAG = Green			
4	Legal agreements: conclude (or reconsider) applications after resolving to grant permission reducing number of live applications more than 6 months after resolution to grant (from last reporting period)	Green	There were no applications with legal agreements attached. You retain the target for applications with a legal agreements attached to be decided within 4 months from the date the instructions issued to legal.			
5	Enforcement charter updated / republished within last 2 years	Green	Your enforcement charter was 16 months old at the time of reporting.			
6	Continuous improvement: • progress/improvement in relation to PPF National Headline Indicators; and • progress ambitious and relevant service improvement commitments identified through PPF report		You have reduced all your decision making timescales and these are all faster than the Scottish average. Your LDP and enforcement charter are up to date and the number of processing agreements you have entered into is increasing. RAG = Green You have completed 5 out of your 7 improvement commitments during the reporting year and you have identified a good range of improvements to take forward which are evidenced and referred to within your report. RAG = Green Overall RAG = Green			
7	Local development plan less than	Green	Your LDP was 2 years old at the time of reporting.			
8	5 years since adoption Development plan scheme – next LDP: on course for adoption within 5 years of current plan(s) adoption; and project planned and expected to be delivered to planned timescale	Amber	Your LPD is on course to be replaced within the 5 year timescale with no change to the proposed date for adoption within your development plan scheme. RAG = Green Other than producing your development plan scheme it is unclear from your report how you project manage the replacement of your LDP. RAG = Amber Overall RAG = Amber			
9	Elected members engaged early (pre-MIR) in development plan preparation – if plan has been at pre-MIR stage during reporting year	N/A	Overdii IVAO – Allibei			







10	Cross sector stakeholders* engaged early (pre-MIR) in development plan preparation – if plan has been at pre-MIR stage during reporting year	N/A	
11	Regular and proportionate policy advice produced on information required to support applications.	Green	Your supplementary guidance remains fit for purpose and you have guidance on open space and onshore wind forthcoming. You have also produced guidance on the replacement of windows in conservation areas for use by duty officers and applicants.
12	Corporate working across services to improve outputs and services for customer benefit (for example: protocols; joined-up services; single contact arrangements; joint pre-application advice)	Green	You have a protocol in place with Cairgorms National Park, provide joined up pre-application advice which includes publicising scheduled meetings throughout the year so that stakeholders are aware of when meetings are to take place and ensure they can attend. You also ensure that a single officer is allocated to a case from the beginning of the process through to decision.
13	Sharing good practice, skills and knowledge between authorities	Green	You participate in a number of Heads of Planning Scotland sub committees and participate in benchmarking. You have outlined the range of CPD activities which officers have undertaken throughout the year and identified future training priorities.
14	Stalled sites / legacy cases: conclusion or withdrawal of old planning applications and reducing number of live applications more than one year old	Green	You have cleared 1 case and have 1 case remaining. You have focussed on ensuring cases don't reach legacy status by monitoring progress with the signing of any legal agreements post minded to grant stage.
15	Developer contributions: clear and proportionate expectations • set out in development plan (and/or emerging plan); and • in pre-application discussions	Green	Supplementary guidance on developer contributions has been produced. RAG = Green You note that pre-application advice is available. This does not explicitly mention developer contributions, but this is proportionate given that very few are dealt with. RAG = Green
			Overall RAG = Green





MORAY COUNCIL

Performance against Key Markers

	Marker Marker	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
1	Decision making timescales					
2	Processing agreements					
3	Early collaboration					
4	Legal agreements					
5	Enforcement charter					
6	Continuous improvement					
7	Local development plan					
8	Development plan scheme					
9	Elected members engaged early (pre-MIR)		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	Stakeholders engaged early (pre-MIR)		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	Regular and proportionate advice to support applications					
12	Corporate working across services					
13	Sharing good practice, skills and knowledge					
14	Stalled sites/legacy cases					
15	Developer contributions					

Overall Markings (total numbers for red, amber and green)

2012-13	3	6	6
2013-14	2	5	6
2014-15	1	4	8
2015-16	1	3	9
2016-17	0	1	12

Decision Making Timescales (weeks)

	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17 Scottish Average
Major Development	55.7	98.2	13.1	20.0	16.9	37.1
Local (Non- Householder) Development	20.0	13.5	8.5	7.5	7.2	11.1
Householder Development	10.1	7.1	5.8	6.3	5.7	7.3



